Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie
Contributions to Algebra and Geometry
Volume 51 (2010), No. 1, 31-44.

Hyperelliptic Plane Curves of Type $(d, d-2)$

Fumio Sakai Mohammad Salem* Keita Tono

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science and Engineering
Saitama University, Shimo-Okubo 255, Sakura-ku, Saitama 338-8570, Japan
e-mail: fsakai@rimath.saitama-u.ac.jp

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Sohag University, Sohag 82524, Egypt
e-mail: abuelhassan@yahoo.com

Department of Mathematics, Graduate School of Science and Engineering
Saitama University, Shimo-Okubo 255, Sakura-ku, Saitama 338-8570, Japan
e-mail: ktono@rimath.saitama-u.ac.jp

Abstract. In [7], we classified and constructed all rational plane curves of type $(d, d-2)$. In this paper, we generalize these results to irreducible plane curves of type $(d, d-2)$ with positive genus.

MSC 2000: 14H50, 14E07

1. Introduction

Let $C \subset \mathbf{P}^2 = \mathbf{P}^2(\mathbf{C})$ be a plane curve of degree d. We call C a *plane curve of type* (d, ν) if the maximal multiplicity of singular points on C is equal to ν. A unibranched singularity is called a *cusp*. Rational cuspidal plane curves of type $(d, d-2)$ and $(d, d-3)$ were classified by Flenner-Zaidenberg [5], [6] (see also [4], [8] for some cases). In [7], we classified rational plane curves of type $(d, d-2)$ with arbitrary singularities. In order to describe a multibranched singularity P, we introduced the notion of the system of the multiplicity sequences $\underline{m}_P(C)$ (see

*partially supported by Post Doctoral Fellowship for Foreign Researchers, 1705292, JSPS

0138-4821/93 $ 2.50 © 2010 Heldermann Verlag

Section 2). We denote by Data(C), the collection of such systems of multiplicity sequences. The purpose of this paper is to complete the classification of irreducible plane curves of type $(d, d-2)$ with positive genus g. We remark that if $g \geq 2$, then C is a hyperelliptic curve, for the projection from the singular point of multiplicity $d-2$ induces a double covering of C over $\mathbf{P}^1$.

Theorem 1. *Let C be a plane curve of type $(d, d-2)$ with genus g. Let $Q \in C$ be the singular point of multiplicity $d-2$. Then, we have*

(i) $\mathrm{Data}(C) = \left[\underline{m}_Q(C), \binom{1}{1}_{b_1}, \ldots, \binom{1}{1}_{b_n}, (2_{b_{n+1}}), \ldots, (2_{b_{n+n'}})\right]$, *where*

$$\underline{m}_Q(C) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} k_1 \\ k_1' \\ \vdots \\ k_s \\ k_s' \\ k_{s+1} \\ \vdots \\ k_N \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_{a_1} \\ \vdots \\ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_{a_s} \\ 2_{a_{s+1}} \\ \vdots \\ 2_{a_N} \right\}$$

and the following conditions are satisfied:

(1) $\sum_{h=1}^{N} k_h + \sum_{h'=1}^{s} k_{h'}' = d-2$ *and* $\sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i + \sum_{j=1}^{n+n'} b_j = d-g-2$, *where* $a_i \geq 0$ *($a_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \ldots, s$),* $b_j > 0$,

(2) *we have* $n, n', s \geq 0$ *and* $n' + s' \leq 2g+2$, *where* $s' = \#\{j | a_{s+j} > 0\}$,

(3) *for* $i = 1, 2, \ldots, s$, *if* $k_i' = k_i$, *then* $a_i \geq k_i$ *and if* $k_i' > k_i$, *then* $a_i = k_i$,

(4) *for* $i = s+1, \ldots, N$, *if* $a_i > 0$, *then either k_i is even and $a_i \geq k_i/2$ or k_i is odd and $a_i = (k_i - 1)/2$.*

Note that the N is the number of the different tangent lines to C at Q.

(ii) Data(C) *can be derived from Degtyarev's 2-formula $T(C)$ defined for the defining equation of C (see Proposition 10 for details).*

Corollary. *Let C be an irreducible plane curve of type $(d, d-2)$ with genus g.*

(i) *If C has only cusps, then C has the following data ($b_i > 0, k > 0, j \geq 0$):*

Class	Data(C)	
(a)	$[(k), (2_{b_1}), \ldots, (2_{b_{n'}})]$	$(k = g + \sum_{i=1}^{n'} b_i)$ $(n' \leq 2g+2)$
(b)	$[(2k+1, 2_k), (2_{b_1}), \ldots, (2_{b_{n'}})]$	$(k+1 = g + \sum_{i=1}^{n'} b_i)$ $(n' \leq 2g+1)$
(c)	$[(2k, 2_{k+j}), (2_{b_1}), \ldots, (2_{b_{n'}})]$	$(k = g + j + \sum_{i=1}^{n'} b_i)$ $(n' \leq 2g+1)$

(ii) *If C has only bibranched singularities, then C has the following data ($b_i > 0, k > 0, r > 0, j \geq 0, l \geq 0$):*

Class	Data(C)	
(e)	$\left[\binom{k}{k}\binom{1}{1}_{k+j}, \binom{1}{1}_{b_1}, \ldots, \binom{1}{1}_{b_n}\right]$	$(k = g + j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i)$
(f)	$\left[\binom{k}{k+r}\binom{1}{1}_{k}, \binom{1}{1}_{b_1}, \ldots, \binom{1}{1}_{b_n}\right]$	$(k + r = g + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i)$
(aa)	$\left[\binom{k}{r}, \binom{1}{1}_{b_1}, \ldots, \binom{1}{1}_{b_n}\right]$	$(k + r = g + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i)$
(ab)	$\left[\left\{\binom{2k+1}{r}^{2_k}\right\}, \binom{1}{1}_{b_1}, \ldots, \binom{1}{1}_{b_n}\right]$	$(k + r + 1 = g + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i)$
(ac)	$\left[\left\{\binom{2k}{r}^{2_{k+j}}\right\}, \binom{1}{1}_{b_1}, \ldots, \binom{1}{1}_{b_n}\right]$	$(k + r = g + j + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i)$
(bb)	$\left[\left\{\binom{2k+1}{2r+1}^{2_k}_{2_r}\right\}, \binom{1}{1}_{b_1}, \ldots, \binom{1}{1}_{b_n}\right]$	$(k + r + 2 = g + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i)$
(bc)	$\left[\left\{\binom{2k+1}{2r}^{2_k}_{2_{r+l}}\right\}, \binom{1}{1}_{b_1}, \ldots, \binom{1}{1}_{b_n}\right]$	$(k + r + 1 = g + l + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i)$
(cc)	$\left[\left\{\binom{2k}{2r}^{2_{k+j}}_{2_{r+l}}\right\}, \binom{1}{1}_{b_1}, \ldots, \binom{1}{1}_{b_n}\right]$	$(k + r = g + j + l + \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i)$

Theorem 2. (cf. Coble [1], Coolidge [2]) *Let C be an irreducible plane curve of type $(d, d-2)$ with genus g. Then, there exists a Cremona transformation which transforms C into a plane curve:*

$$\Gamma : y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{2g+2} (x - \lambda_i),$$

with some distinct λ_i's.

Conversely, given a plane curve Γ as above and a collection of systems of multiplicity sequences M satisfying the conditions (1)–(4) *in Theorem* 1, (i) *for $d \geq g + 2$, then we can find an irreducible plane curve C of type $(d, d-2)$ such that*

(a) Data(C) $= M$,

(b) *C is Cremona birational to Γ.*

Remark 3. For the first half of Theorem 2, we refer to Coble [1], p. 125 and Coolidge [2], Book III, Chapter V. As for the second half of Theorem 2, the particular cases in which $M = [(g)]$, $[\binom{g}{g}\binom{1}{1}_g]$ were discussed in [2], Book III, Chapter V, Theorems 8, 10.

In Section 2, we review the system of the multiplicity sequences, the 2-formula and quadratic Cremona transformations. In Section 3 (resp. Section 4), we will prove Theorem 1 (resp. Theorem 2). In Section 5, we discuss the defining equations for those curves given in Corollary.

2. Preliminaries

A cusp P can be described by its multiplicity sequence $\underline{m}_P = (m_0, m_1, m_2, \ldots)$. For a multibranched singular point P on C, we introduced the system of the multiplicity sequences of P.

Definition 4. ([7]) *Let $P \in C$ be a multibranched singular point, having r local branches $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$. Let $\underline{m}(\gamma_i) = (m_{i0}, m_{i1}, m_{i2}, \ldots)$ denote the multiplicity sequences of the branches γ_i, respectively. We define the system of the multiplicity sequences, which will be denoted by the same symbol $\underline{m}_P(C)$, to be the combination of $\underline{m}(\gamma_i)$ with brackets indicating the coincidence of the centers of the infinitely near points of the branches γ_i. For instance, for the case in which $r = 3$, we write it in the following form:*

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,0} \\ m_{2,0} \\ m_{3,0} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,\rho} \\ m_{2,\rho} \\ m_{3,\rho} \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,\rho+1} \\ m_{2,\rho+1} \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} m_{1,\rho'} \\ m_{2,\rho'} \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} m_{1,\rho'+1}, \ldots, m_{1,s_1} \\ m_{2,\rho'+1}, \ldots, m_{2,s_2} \end{matrix} \\ m_{3,\rho+1}, \ldots, m_{3,s_3} \end{matrix} \right\}.$$

We also use some simplifications such as

$$(2_a) = (\overbrace{2, \ldots, 2}^{a}, 1, 1), \quad (2_0) = (1), \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}_a = \overbrace{\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdots \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}}^{a}.$$

Example 5. We examine our notations for ADE singularities.

P	A_{2n}	A_{2n-1}	D_{2n-1}	D_{2n}	E_6	E_7	E_8
$\underline{m}_P(C)$	(2_n)	$\binom{1}{1}_n$	$\left\{ \binom{2}{1}^{2n-3} \right\}$	$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \binom{1}{1}_{n-2} \right\}$	(3)	$\binom{2}{1}\binom{1}{1}$	$(3, 2)$

Example 6. The hyperelliptic curve $y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{2g+2}(x - \lambda_i)$ has one singularity Q on the line at infinity with $\underline{m}_Q = \binom{g}{g}\binom{1}{1}_g$.

Let C be an irreducible plane curve of type $(d, d-2)$. Let $Q \in C$ be the singular point with multiplicity $d-2$. Choosing homogeneous coordinates (x, y, z) so that $Q = (0, 0, 1)$, the curve C is defined by an equation:

$$F(x, y)z^2 + 2G(x, y)z + H(x, y) = 0,$$

where F, G and H are homogeneous polynomials of degree $d-2$, $d-1$ and d, respectively. Set $\Delta = G^2 - FH$. Let $t_1, \ldots, t_l \in \mathbf{P}^1$ be all the distinct roots of the equation $F(t)\Delta(t) = 0$. For each i, let $(p_i, q_i) = (\mathrm{ord}_{t_i}(F), \mathrm{ord}_{t_i}(\Delta))$, where $\mathrm{ord}_{t_i}(F)$ (resp. $\mathrm{ord}_{t_i}(\Delta)$) is the multiplicity of the root t_i of the equation $F(t) = 0$ (resp. $\Delta(t_i) = 0$). Set $T(C) = \{(p_1, q_1), \ldots, (p_l, q_l)\}$. This unordered l-tuple $T(C)$ is called the 2-*formula* of C (Degtyarev [3]). We remark that $T(C)$ does not depend on the choice of the coordinates (x, y, z) with $Q = (0, 0, 1)$.

Lemma 7. *The 2-formula $T(C)$ satisfies the following properties:*

(i) $\sum_{i=1}^{l} q_i = 2\sum_{i=1}^{l} p_i + 2$,

(ii) $p_i = q_i$ *or* $\min\{p_i, q_i\}$ *is even for each* i,

(iii) *there exists a pair* (p_i, q_i) *such that* q_i *is an odd number.*

Proof. (i) By definition, $\sum_{i=1}^{l} p_i = d-2$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{l} q_i = 2d-2$.

(ii) Suppose that $p_i \neq q_i$. We may assume $t_i = (0,1)$. We can write F, G and Δ as $F = x^{p_i}F_0$, $\Delta = x^{q_i}\Delta_0$ and $G = x^m G_0$, respectively, where $m = \mathrm{ord}_{t_i}(G)$. If $p_i > q_i$, then $x^{2m}G_0^2 = x^{q_i}(\Delta_0 + x^{p_i-q_i}F_0H)$. Thus $q_i = 2m$. If $0 < p_i < q_i$, then we get $x^{2m}G_0^2 = x^{p_i}(x^{q_i-p_i}\Delta_0 + F_0H)$, which implies that $2m \geq p_i > 0$. We have $x \not| H$, since C is irreducible. Hence $p_i = 2m$.

(iii) Suppose that all q_i's are even. Then we can write as $\Delta = \Delta_0^2$. We have $F(Fz^2+2Gz+H) = (Fz+G+\Delta_0)(Fz+G-\Delta_0)$. Since $\deg(Fz+G\pm\Delta_0) = d-1$, we infer that $Fz^2 + 2Gz + H$ is reducible. This is a contradiction. □

Remark 8. We note that $P(x,y,z) = Fz^2 + 2Gz + H$ is irreducible if (a) $\mathrm{GCD}(F,G,H) = 1$, and if (b) the property (iii) holds. Indeed, under the assumption (a), if P is reducible, then $P = (Az+B)(Cz+D)$ with $A, B, C, D \in \mathbf{C}[x,y]$. But, in this case, $4\Delta = (AD-BC)^2$, which contradicts the property (iii).

Example 9. Let C be the quartic curve $x^2y^2+y^2z^2+z^2x^2-2xyz(x+y+z) = 0$. We have $T(C) = \{(2,0),(0,3),(0,3)\}$.

The (degenerate) quadratic Cremona transformation

$$\varphi_c : (x,y,z) \longrightarrow (xy, y^2, x(z-cx)) \quad (c \in \mathbf{C})$$

played an important role in [6, 7]. We find that $\varphi_c^{-1}(x,y,z) = (x^2, xy, yz+cx^2)$. We use the notations:

$$l : x = 0,\ t : y = 0,\ O = (0,0,1), A = (1,0,c), B = (0,1,0).$$

Note that $\varphi_c(l \setminus \{O\}) = B$ and $\varphi_c(t \setminus \{O, A\}) = O$.

Let C be an irreducible plane curve of type $(d, d-2)$ with $d \geq 4$. Suppose the singular point $Q \in C$ of multiplicity $d-2$ has coordinates O. We have seen in [7] that the strict transform $C' = \varphi_c(C)$ is an irreducible plane curve of type $(d', d'-2)$ for some d'. In [7, 8], by analyzing how a local branch γ at $P \in \mathrm{Sing}(C)$ is transformed by φ_c, we described $\mathrm{Data}[C']$ from $\mathrm{Data}[C]$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1

(i) We easily see that $P \in \mathrm{Sing}(C) \setminus \{Q\}$ is a double point, because $LC = (d-2)Q + 2P$, where L is the line passing through P, Q. Let $\pi : \tilde{\mathbf{P}}^2 \to \mathbf{P}^2$ be the blowing-up at Q. Let E denote the exceptional curve. Take a line L passing through Q. Let C' (resp. L') be the strict transform of C (resp. L). We have

$C'L' = 2$. It follows that $P \in \mathrm{Sing}(C') \cap E$ is also a double point. Thus, Data(C) has the shape as in Theorem 1. Clearly, $\sum k_h + \sum k'_{h'} = \mathrm{mult}_Q(C) = d-2$. The second part of the condition (1) follows from the genus formula. The condition (2) follows from the Hurwitz formula applied to the double covering $\tilde{C} \to \mathbf{P}^1$, which corresponds to the projection of C from Q, where the $\tilde{C}$ is the non-singular model of C. For the proof of the conditions (3), (4), we refer to [7]. We will give an alternative, direct proof in Proposition 10.

(ii) Let $F(x,y)z^2 + 2G(x,y)z + H(x,y) = 0$ be the defining equation of C as in Section 2. Let $T(C)$ be the 2-formula of C. Setting

$$T'(C) = \{(p,q) \in T(C) \,|\, p > 0 \text{ or } q \geq 2\},$$

we renumber the pairs $(p_i, q_i) \in T'(C)$ in the following way:

(1) $p_i > 0$, $q_i > 0$ and q_i is even for $i = 1, \ldots s$,
(2) either $p_i > 0$, $q_i > 0$ and q_i is odd, or $p_i > 0, q_i = 0$ for $i = s+1, \ldots, N$,
(3) $p_i = 0$, $q_i > 0$ and q_i is even, for $i = N+1, \ldots, N+n$,
(4) $p_i = 0$, $q_i \geq 3$ and q_i is odd, for $i = N+n+1, \ldots, N+n+n'$.

Proposition 10. *Set*

(1) *for* $i = 1, \ldots s$,
$$\begin{cases} k_i = k'_i = p_i/2, a_i = q_i/2 & \text{if } p_i \leq q_i, \\ k_i = q_i/2, k'_i = p_i - q_i/2, a_i = q_i/2 & \text{if } p_i > q_i, \end{cases}$$
(2) *for* $i = s+1, \ldots N$,
$$\begin{cases} k_i = p_i, a_i = (q_i - 1)/2 & \text{if } q_i > 0, \\ k_i = p_i, a_i = 0 & \text{if } q_i = 0, \end{cases}$$
(3) $b_j = q_{N+j}/2$, *for* $j = 1, \ldots, n$,
(4) $b_j = (q_{N+j} - 1)/2$, *for* $j = n+1, \ldots, n+n'$.

Then Data(C) *is given as in Theorem* 1, (i).

Proof. Take $(p_i, q_i) \in T'(C)$. Write t_i as $t_i = (\alpha_i, \beta_i)$. Let L_i be the line $\beta_i x = \alpha_i y$. By arranging the coordinates, we may assume $(\alpha_i, \beta_i) = (0,1)$. Write F, G and Δ as $F = x^{p_i} F_0$, $G = x^m G_0$ and $\Delta = x^{q_i} \Delta_0$, where $m = \mathrm{ord}_{t_i}(G)$.

We first consider the case in which $p_i = 0$. Since $\Delta(t_i) = 0$, we have

$$F(t_i)z^2 + 2G(t_i)z + H(t_i) = F(t_i)(z + G(t_i)/F(t_i))^2.$$

It follows that $CL_i = (d-2)Q + 2P$, where $P = (0, 1, -G(t_i)/F(t_i))$. Let U be a neighbourhood of P such that $y \neq 0$ and $F(x,y) \neq 0$ for all $(x,y,z) \in U$. We use the affine coordinates $(\overline{x}, \overline{z}) = (x/y, z/y)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} &F(x,y)(F(x,y)z^2 + 2G(x,y)z + H(x,y)) \\ &\qquad = y^{2d-2}((F(\overline{x},1)\overline{z} + G(\overline{x},1))^2 - \Delta(\overline{x},1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus C is defined by the equation $(F(\overline{x},1)\overline{z}+G(\overline{x},1))^2=\Delta(\overline{x},1)$ on U. Letting $u=F(\overline{x},1)\overline{z}+G(\overline{x},1)$ and $v=(\sqrt[q_i]{\Delta_0(\overline{x},1)})\overline{x}$, C is defined by the equation $u^2=v^{q_i}$ around P. Thus $P\in \mathrm{Sing}(C)\setminus\{Q\}$ if $q_i\geq 2$. In this case, we have

$$\underline{m}_P(C)=\begin{cases}\binom{1}{1}_{q_i/2} & \text{if } q_i \text{ is even,}\\ (2_{(q_i-1)/2}) & \text{if } q_i \text{ is odd,}\end{cases}$$

which gives the assertions (3), (4).

Conversely, take $P\in \mathrm{Sing}(C)\setminus\{Q\}$. Let L be the line passing through P, Q. Write $L: \beta x=\alpha y$. Since $CL=(d-2)Q+2P$, we have $F(\alpha,\beta)\neq 0$ and $\Delta(\alpha,\beta)=0$. For $(\alpha,\beta)\in \mathbf{P}^1$, we find a pair $(0,q)\in T(C)$. We see from the above argument that C is defined by the equation $u^2=v^q$ near P. Thus $q\geq 2$.

We now consider the case in which $p_i>0$. Let $\pi:\tilde{\mathbf{P}}^2\to\mathbf{P}^2$ be the blowing-up at Q and E the exceptional curve of π. We use the affine coordinates $(\overline{x},\overline{y})=(x/z,y/z)$ of $U:=\{(x,y,z)\in\mathbf{P}^2\mid z\neq 0\}$. Put $V=\pi^{-1}(U)$. There exist an open cover $V=V_1\cup V_2$ ($V_j\cong\mathbf{C}^2$) with standard coordinates (u_j,v_j) of V_j such that $\pi|_{V_1}: V_1\ni(u_1,v_1)\mapsto(u_1v_1,u_1)$ and $\pi|_{V_2}: V_2\ni(u_2,v_2)\mapsto(u_2,u_2v_2)$. Note that E is defined by $u_j=0$ on V_j. The strict transform L_i' of L_i is defined by $v_1=0$ on V_1. Let P be the unique point $E\cap L_i'$. We have $P=(0,0)$ on V_1. The strict transform C' of C is defined by the equation: $F(v_1,1)+2G(v_1,1)u_1+H(v_1,1)u_1^2=0$ on V_1. By the definition of p_i and m, the curve C' is defined by the equation: $F_0v_1^{p_i}+2G_0v_1^mu_1+Hu_1^2=0$. In particular, we have $(C'E)_P=p_i$. If $q_i=0$, then we must have $m=0$ (see the proof of Lemma 7). Hence C' is smooth at P. If $q_i>0$, then we have $m>0$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 7). Since C is irreducible, we see that $H(t_i)\neq 0$. We have $H(F+2G_0v_1^mu_1+Hu_1^2)=(Hu_1+G_0v_1^m)^2-\Delta$. This means that C' is defined by the equation:

$$(H(v_1,1)u_1+G_0(v_1,1)v_1^m)^2-\Delta(v_1,1)=0$$

in a neighborhood of P.
Letting $u=H(v_1,1)u_1+G_0(v_1,1)v_1^m$ and $v=(\sqrt[q_i]{\Delta_0(v_1,1)})v_1$, C' is defined by the equation $u^2=v^{q_i}$ around P. We have

$$\underline{m}_P(C')=\begin{cases}\binom{1}{1}_{q_i/2} & \text{if } q_i \text{ is even,}\\ (2_{(q_i-1)/2}) & \text{if } q_i \text{ is odd,}\\ (1) & \text{if } q_i=0,\end{cases}$$

which gives the values of a_i in (1), (2). We prove the remaining assertions in (1). If q_i is even, then C' has two branches γ_+,γ_- at P defined by

$$H(v_1,1)u_1+G_0(v_1,1)v_1^m\pm v_1^{q_i/2}\sqrt{\Delta_0(v_1,1)}=0.$$

In case $p_i>q_i$, we have $m=q_i/2$ (see the proof of Lemma 7). We infer that one of the intersection numbers $(E\gamma_+)_P$ and $(E\gamma_-)_P$ is equal to $q_i/2$. The other one must be equal to $p_i-q_i/2$, because $(EC')_P=p_i$. In case $p_i\leq q_i$, we have $m\geq p_i/2$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 7). Thus $(E\gamma_\pm)_P\geq p_i/2$, hence $(E\gamma_\pm)_P=p_i/2$. Consequently, we obtain the pair (k_i,k_i').

Conversely, take $P \in C' \cap E$. We assume $P \in V_1$. Write the coordinates of P as $P = (0, \beta)$. The equation $F(\beta,1)+2G(\beta,1)u_1+H(\beta,1)u_1^2 = 0$ has the solution $u_1 = 0$ as C' passes through P. Thus $F(\beta,1) = 0$. For $(\beta,1) \in \mathbf{P}^1$, we find a pair $(p,q) \in T(C)$ with $p > 0$. □

Remark 11. For $i = s+1, \ldots, N$, if $(k_i, a_i) = (1,0)$, then we have either $(p_i, q_i) = (1,1)$ or $(1,0)$. The case $(p_i, q_i) = (1,1)$ occurs if and only if the line L_i is a flex-tangent line to the corresponding branch at Q.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let C be given by the equation (see Section 2):

$$F(x,y)z^2 + 2G(x,y)z + H(x,y) = 0.$$

Put $\Delta = G^2 - FH$. Via linear coordinates change of x and y, we may assume that $y \not| F\Delta$. We then define a Cremona transformation (cf. [2], Book III, Chapter V):

$$\Phi(x,y,z) = (xy^{d-2}, y^{d-1}, Fz + G).$$

We find that $\Phi^{-1}(x,y,z) = (xF, yF, y^{d-2}z - G)$. We see easily that the strict transform $C' = \Phi(C)$ is defined by the equation:

$$y^{2(d-2)}z^2 = \Delta.$$

Write $\Delta = \prod_{i=1}^{k}(x - \lambda_i y)^{q_i}$, where the λ_i's are distinct. Renumber q_i's so that q_i's are odd for $i = 1, \ldots, l$ and q_i's are even for $i = l+1, \ldots, k$. Letting $s_i = [q_i/2]$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$, we put $S = \prod_{i=1}^{k}(x - \lambda_i y)^{s_i}$ and $s = \sum_{i=1}^{k} s_i$. Note that $2d-2 = \sum_{i=1}^{k} q_i = 2s + l$. We next define a Cremona transformation:

$$\Psi(x,y,z) = (xS, yS, y^s z).$$

We find that $\Psi^{-1}(x,y,z) = (xy^s, y^{s+1}, Sz)$. We see that $\Gamma' = \Psi(C')$ is defined by the equation:

$$y^{2(d-2)}z^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{l}(x - \lambda_i y).$$

We see that $l = 2g+2$ and $g = d - s - 2$. Take a projective transformation: $\iota : (x,y,z) \to (x,z,y)$. Finally, the image $\Gamma = \iota(\Gamma')$ has the affine equation:

$$y^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{2g+2}(x - \lambda_i).$$

We now prove the second half of Theorem 2. We start with the curve Γ and a collection of systems of multiplicity sequences:

$$M = \left[m, \binom{1}{1}_{b_1}, \ldots, \binom{1}{1}_{b_n}, (2_{b_{n+1}}), \ldots, (2_{b_{n+n'}}) \right],$$

where the m is the system of the multiplicity sequences of the singular point with multiplicity $d-2$. Let $r(M)$, $N(M)$ denote the number of the branches and the number of the different tangent lines of m. We have to construct an irreducible plane curve of type $(d, d-2)$ with $\mathrm{Data}(C) = M$. In [7], we considered the case in which $g = 0$. We here assume that $g \geq 1$. We follow the arguments in [7].

First we deal with the cuspidal case given in Corollary of Theorem 1. See also Proposition 13.

Case (a): $M = [(k), (2_{b_1}), \ldots, (2_{b_{n'}})]$, where $k = g + \sum b_i$. We use the induction on n'. (i) $M = [(g)]$. Interchanging coordinates, we start with the curve:

$$\Gamma_0 : x^{2g} z^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{2g+2} (y - \lambda_i x).$$

After a linear change of coordinates, we may assume that $c = \prod_{i=1}^{2g+2}(-\lambda_i) \neq 0$. Letting $c_1 = \sqrt{c}$, we have $\Gamma_0 t = (2g)O + A_1 + A_1'$, where $A_1 = (1, 0, c_1)$, $A_1' = (1, 0, -c_1)$. Let Γ_1 be the strict transform of Γ_0 via φ_{c_1}. Using Lemma 1, (a) and Lemma 2, (e)* in [7], we see that $\Gamma_1 t = (2g-1)O + A_2$. Write $A_2 = (1, 0, c_2)$. Let Γ_2 be the strict transform of Γ_1 via φ_{c_2}. In this way, we successively choose $c_1, \ldots, c_g$. It turns out that $\mathrm{Data}(\Gamma_g) = [(g)]$.

(ii) Suppose we have constructed C_0 with $\mathrm{Data}(C_0) = [(k_0), (2_{b_1}), \ldots, (2_{b_{n'-1}})]$, where $k_0 = g + \sum_{i=1}^{n'-1} b_i$. After a suitable change of coordinates, we may assume $C_0 l = k_0 O + 2B_1$ and $C_0 t = (k_0+1)O + A_1$. Note that the double covering $\tilde{C} \to \mathbf{P}^1$ defined through the projection from O to a line, must have $2g+2$ branch points. Since $n' - 1 < 2g + 2$, we see that a line passing through O is tangent to C_0 at a smooth point B_1. Write $A_1 = (1, 0, c_1)$. Let C_1 be the strict transform of C_0 via φ_{c_1}. We have $C_1 l = (k_0+1)O + 2B$ and $C_1 t = (k_0+2)O + A_2$. Write $A_2 = (1, 0, c_2)$. Let C_2 be the strict transform of C_1 via φ_{c_2}. We have again $C_2 t = (k_0+3)O + A_3$. Repeating in this way, we successively choose $c_1, \ldots, c_{b_{n'}}$ and define $C_1, \ldots, C_{b_{n'}}$. Then, the curve $C = C_{b_{n'}}$ has the desired property.

Case (b): $M = [(2k+1, 2_k), (2_{b_1}), \ldots, (2_{b_{n'}})]$, where $k + 1 = g + \sum b_i$. As in Case (a), we can similarly prove this case. For the first step: $M = [(2g-1, 2_{g-1})]$, it suffices to arrange coordinates so that $\Gamma_0 t = gO + 2A_1$ with $A_1 = (1, 0, 0)$. Put $c_1 = 0$ and choose $c_2, \ldots, c_g$ arbitrarily. Then we obtain $\mathrm{Data}(\Gamma_g) = M$ (cf. Lemma 1, (b) and Lemma 2, (e)* in [7]).

Case (c): $M = [(2k, 2_{k+j}), (2_{b_1}), \ldots, (2_{b_{n'}})]$, where $k = g + j + \sum b_i$. We also use the induction on n' as in Case (a). For the first step: $M = [(2(g+j), 2_{g+2j})]$, we start with a curve C_0 with $\mathrm{Data}(C_0) = [(g+j), (2_j)]$ constructed in Case (a). We again arrange coordinates so that $C_0 t = (g+j)O + 2R$, where $\underline{m}_R(C_0) = (2_j)$ and $R = (1, 0, a)$. Choose $c_1 \neq a$ and $c_2, \ldots, c_{g+j}$ arbitrarily. Then we have $\mathrm{Data}(C_{g+j}) = M$ (cf. Lemma 1, (a)*, (c) in [7]).

Starting with the cuspidal case, we can prove the general case in a similar manner to that in [7]. We have three subcases:

I. $N(M) = r(M) = 1$, II. $N(M) = 1, r(M) = 2$, III. $N(M) \geq 2$.

Here, we only give a proof for $M = [(k), \binom{1}{1}_{b_1}, \ldots, \binom{1}{1}_{b_n}, (2_{b_{n+1}}), \ldots, (2_{b_{n+n'}})]$, where $k = g + \sum_{j=1}^{n+n'} b_j$, which is one of the remaining cases in I. We use the induction on n.

(i) We constructed a cuspidal curve C with $\mathrm{Data}(C) = [(k), (2_{b_{n+1}}), \ldots, (2_{b_{n+n'}})]$.

(ii) Suppose we have already constructed C_0 with

$$\mathrm{Data}(C_0) = [(k_0), \binom{1}{1}_{b_1}, \ldots, \binom{1}{1}_{b_{n-1}}, (2_{b_{n+1}}), \ldots, (2_{b_{n+n'}})],$$

where $k_0 = g + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_j + \sum_{j=n+1}^{n+n'} b_j$. By arranging coordinates, we have $C_0 l = k_0 O + B_1 + B_1'$ and $C_0 t = (k_0+1)O + A_1$. Letting $A_1 = (1, 0, c_1)$, the strict transform C_1 of C_0 via φ_{c_1} has the property $C_0 t = (k_0+2)O + A_2$. Write $A_2 = (1, 0, c_2)$. We successively choose $c_2, \ldots, c_{b_n}$ in this way. Then the strict transform C of C_0 via $\varphi_{c_{b_n}} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_{c_1}$ has the desired property (cf. Lemma 1, (d) and Lemma 2, (tn) in [7]). In particular, C contains a tacnode $\binom{1}{1}_{b_n}$ at $B = (0, 1, 0)$.

5. Defining equations

We now describe the defining equations for those curves listed in Corollary. In [6, 7, 8], the defining equations were computed step by step by using quadratic Cremona transformations. But, for some cases, we encountered a difficulty to evaluate points in some special positions. We here employ the method used by Degtyarev in [3].

Lemma 12. *Consider two polynomials*

$$g(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} c_i t^i, \quad \delta(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2d} d_i t^i \in \mathrm{C}[t].$$

Suppose $\delta(0) = d_0 \neq 0$. For $k \leq d$, we have $t^k \mid (g^2 - \delta)$ if and only if

(1) $c_0 = \pm\sqrt{d_0}$,

(2) $c_j = (d_j - \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} c_i c_{j-i})/(2c_0)$ *for* $j = 1, \ldots, k-1$.

Proof. Write $g(t)^2 = \sum_{j=0} b_j t^j$. We see that $b_j = \sum_{i=0}^{j} c_i c_{j-i}$ for $j \leq d$. □

Proposition 13. *The defining equations of irreducible plane curves of type $(d, d-2)$ with genus g having only cusps are the following (up to projective equivalence, the λ_i's are distinct).*

(a) $y^k z^2 + 2Gz + \Big\{G^2 - \Delta\Big\}/y^k = 0$, *where*

$$\Delta(x, y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n'} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i+1} \prod_{i=n'+1}^{2g+2} (x - \lambda_i y).$$

Letting $G(x, y) = \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_h x^{k+1-h} y^h$, the coefficients $c_0, \ldots, c_{k-1}$ are determined by the condition $y^k \mid (G(1, y)^2 - \Delta(1, y))$ (see Lemma 12).

(b) $(y^k z + \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_h x^{k+1-h} y^h)^2 y - \prod_{i=1}^{n'} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i+1} \prod_{i=n'+1}^{2g+1} (x - \lambda_i y) = 0.$

(c) $(y^k z + \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_h x^{k+1-h} y^h)^2 - y^{2j+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n'} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i+1} \prod_{i=n'+1}^{2g+1} (x - \lambda_i y) = 0,$
where $c_0 \neq 0$.

Proof. Class (a). In this case, in view of the argument in the proof of Theorem 1, (ii), we have $T(C) = \{(k,0), (0, 2b_1+1), \ldots, (0, 2b_{n'}+1), (0,1), \ldots, (0,1)\}$. Thus, we can write $F = y^k$ and Δ as above. We must have $y^k | (G^2 - \Delta)$. In view of Lemma 12, the coefficients $c_0, \ldots, c_{k-1}$ are uniquely determined. In particular, $c_0 = \pm 1$. So by Remark 8, the defining equation is irreducible.

Class (b): We have $T(C) = \{(2k+1, 2k+1), (0, 2b_1+1), \ldots, (0, 2b_{n'+1}), (0,1), \ldots, (0,1)\}$. We can arrange coordinates as

$$F = y^{2k+1}, \quad \Delta = y^{2k+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n'} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i+1} \prod_{i=n'+1}^{2g+1} (x - \lambda_i y).$$

We infer that $G = y^{k+1} G_0$ for some G_0.

Class (c): We have $T(C) = \{(2k, 2k+2j+1), (0, 2b_1+1), \ldots, (0, 2b_{n'+1}), (0,1), \ldots, (0,1)\}$. We can arrange coordinates as

$$F = y^{2k}, \quad \Delta = y^{2k+2j+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n'} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i+1} \prod_{i=n'+1}^{2g+1} (x - \lambda_i y).$$

It follows that $G = y^k G_0$ for some G_0. If we write $G_0 = \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_h x^{k+1-h} y^h$, then we must have $c_0 \neq 0$, for otherwise the defining equation becomes reducible (see Remark 8). □

Example 14. We give the defining equation of a cuspidal septic curve C with $\mathrm{Data}(C) = [(5), (2), (2), (2), (2)]$ which are birational to the elliptic curve $y^2 = (x^2-1)(x^2-\lambda^2)$, $(\lambda \neq \pm 1, 0)$.

$$y^5 z^2 + \left\{2x^4 - 3(\lambda^2+1)x^2 y^2 + \frac{3}{4}(\lambda^4 + 6\lambda^2 + 1) y^4\right\} x^2 z$$
$$- \frac{1}{8}(\lambda^2+1)(\lambda^4 - 10\lambda^2 + 1) x^6 y + \frac{3}{64}\left\{3\lambda^8 - 28\lambda^6 - 78\lambda^4 - 28\lambda^2 + 3\right\} x^4 y^3$$
$$+ 3\lambda^4(\lambda^2+1) x^2 y^5 - \lambda^6 y^7 = 0$$

Proposition 15. *The defining equations of irreducible plane curves of type* $(d, d-2)$ *with genus* g *having only bibranched singularities are the following (up to projective equivalence, the* λ_i*'s are distinct).*

(e) $(y^k z + \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_h x^{k+1-h} y^h)^2 - y^{2j} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g+2} (x - \lambda_i y) = 0,$
where $c_0 \neq 0$.

(f) $y^{2k+r}z^2 + 2y^kG_0z + \left\{G_0^2 - \Delta_0\right\}/y^r = 0$,
where

$$\Delta_0(x,y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x-\lambda_i y)^{2b_i}\prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g+2}(x-\lambda_i y)$$

and the coefficients $c_0,\dots,c_{r-1}$ *of* $G_0(x,y) = \sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_h x^{k+r+1-h}y^h$ *are determined by the condition* $y^r \mid (G_0(1,y)^2 - \Delta_0(1,y))$ *(cf. Lemma 12) and* c_r *is chosen so that* $y^{r+1} \nmid (G_0(1,y)^2 - \Delta_0(1,y))$.

(aa) $x^ry^kz^2 + 2Gz + \left\{G^2 - \Delta\right\}/(x^ry^k) = 0$,
where

$$\Delta(x,y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x-\lambda_i y)^{2b_i}\prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g+2}(x-\lambda_i y) \quad (\lambda_i \neq 0 \ for\ all\ i).$$

Write $G(x,y) = \sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_h x^{k+r+1-h}y^h$. *The coefficients* $c_0,\dots,c_{k-1}$, c_{k+2}, $\dots, c_{k+r+1}$ *are determined by the conditions* $y^k \mid (G(1,y)^2 - \Delta(1,y))$ *and* $x^r \mid (G(x,1)^2 - \Delta(x,1))$ *(cf. Lemma 12).*

(aa+) $x(y^kz + 2G_0)z + \left\{xG_0^2 - \Delta_0\right\}/y^k = 0$,
where

$$\Delta_0(x,y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x-\lambda_i y)^{2b_i}\prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g+1}(x-\lambda_i y) \quad (\lambda_i \neq 0 \ for\ all\ i).$$

Write $G_0(x,y) = \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_h x^{k+1-h}y^h$. *The coefficients* $c_0,\dots,c_{k-1}$ *are determined by the condition* $y^k \mid (G_0(1,y)^2 - \Delta_0(1,y))$.

(aa1) $xyz^2 - (x-\lambda y)^4 = 0 \quad (\lambda \neq 0,\ g = 0)$.

(aa2) $xyz^2 - (x-\lambda_1 y)^2(x-\lambda_2 y)^2 = 0 \quad (\lambda_1\lambda_2 \neq 0,\ g = 0)$.

(aa3) $xyz^2 - (x-\lambda_1 y)^2(x-\lambda_2 y)(x-\lambda_3 y) = 0 \quad (\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3 \neq 0,\ g = 1)$.

(aa4) $xyz^2 - \prod_{i=1}^{4}(x-\lambda_i y) = 0 \quad (\lambda_i \neq 0 \ for\ all\ i,\ g = 2)$.

(ab) $x^ry^{2k+1}z^2 + 2y^{k+1}G_0z + \left\{yG_0^2 - \Delta_0\right\}/x^r = 0$,
where

$$\Delta_0(x,y) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x-\lambda_i y)^{2b_i}\prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g+1}(x-\lambda_i y) \quad (\lambda_i \neq 0 \ for\ all\ i)$$

and the coefficients $c_{k+2},\dots,c_{k+r+1}$ *of* $G_0(x,y) = \sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_h x^{k+r+1-h}y^h$ *are determined by the condition* $x^r \mid (G_0(x,1)^2 - \Delta_0(x,1))$.

(ab+) $(y^kz + \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_h x^{k+1-h}y^h)^2xy - \prod_{i=1}^{n}(x-\lambda_i y)^{2b_i}\prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g}(x-\lambda_i y) = 0$,
where $\lambda_i \neq 0$ *for all* i.

(ac) $x^r y^{2k} z^2 + 2y^k G_0 z + \left\{ G_0^2 - \Delta_0 \right\}/x^r = 0$,
where

$$\Delta_0(x,y) = y^{2j+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g+1} (x - \lambda_i y) \quad (\lambda_i \neq 0 \ \textit{for all} \ i)$$

and the coefficients $c_{k+2}, \ldots, c_{k+r+1}$ *of* $G_0(x,y) = \sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_h x^{k+r+1-h} y^h$ *are determined by the condition* $x^r \mid (G_0(x,1)^2 - \Delta_0(x,1))$ *and* $c_0 \neq 0$, *which is required for the irreducibility of the defining equation (Remark* 8*).*

(ac+) $(y^k z + \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} c_h x^{k+1-h} y^h)^2 x - y^{2j+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g} (x - \lambda_i y) = 0$,
where $\lambda_i \neq 0$ *for all* i *and* $c_0 \neq 0$.

(bb) $(x^r y^k z + \sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_h x^{k+r+1-h} y^h)^2 xy - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g} (x - \lambda_i y) = 0$,
where $\lambda_i \neq 0$ *for all* i.

(bc) $(x^r y^k z + \sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_h x^{k+r+1-h} y^h)^2 y \quad - x^{2l+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g} (x - \lambda_i y) = 0$,
where $\lambda_i \neq 0$ *for all* i *and* $c_{k+r+1} \neq 0$.

(cc) $(x^r y^k z + \sum_{h=0}^{k+r+1} c_h x^{k+r+1-h} y^h)^2$
$- x^{2l+1} y^{2j+1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g} (x - \lambda_i y) = 0$,
where $\lambda_i \neq 0$ *for all* i *and* $c_0 c_{k+r+1} \neq 0$.

Proof. Class (e): In this case, we have $T(C) = \{(2k, 2k+2j), (0, 2b_1), \ldots, (0, 2b_n), (0,1), \ldots, (0,1)\}$. We can arrange coordinates as

$$F = y^{2k}, \quad \Delta = y^{2k+2j} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g+2} (x - \lambda_i y).$$

We infer that $G = y^k G_0$ for some G_0.

Class (f): We have $T(C) = \{(2k+r, 2k), (0, 2b_1), \ldots, (0, 2b_n), (0,1), \ldots, (0,1)\}$. We can arrange coordinates as

$$F = y^{2k+r}, \quad \Delta = y^{2k} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g+2} (x - \lambda_i y).$$

We infer that $G = y^k G_0$ for some G_0. Write $\Delta = y^{2k} \Delta_0$. Furthermore, we must have $y^r | (G_0^2 - \Delta_0)$.

Class (aa): We may assume $k \geq r$. We have the case in which $T(C) = \{(k,0), (r,0), (0, 2b_1), \ldots, (0, 2b_n), (0,1), \ldots, (0,1)\}$. We can then arrange coordinates as

$$F = x^r y^k \quad \Delta = \prod_{i=1}^{n} (x - \lambda_i y)^{2b_i} \prod_{i=n+1}^{n+2g+2} (x - \lambda_i y) \quad (\lambda_i \neq 0 \text{ for all } i).$$

We infer that $y^k|(G^2-\Delta)$ and $x^r|(G^2-\Delta)$.

In case $r=1$, we also have the case in which $T(C)=\{(k,0),(1,1),(0,2b_1),\ldots,(0,2b_n),\ (0,1),\ldots,(0,1)\}$. We obtain Class (aa+). If $d=4$, then we have four more classes:

Class	$T(C)$	g
(aa1)	$\{(1,1),(1,1),(0,4)\}$	0
(aa2)	$\{(1,1),(1,1),(0,2),(0,2)\}$	0
(aa3)	$\{(1,1),(1,1),(0,2),(0,1),(0,1)\}$	1
(aa4)	$\{(1,1),(1,1),(0,1),(0,1),(0,1),(0,1)\}$	2

For the remaining classes, we omit the details. □

Acknowledgement. The first author would like to thank Prof. I. V. Dolgachev for comments on the book [1].

References

[1] Coble, A. B.: *Algebraic Geometry and Theta Functions.* Colloq. Publ. **10**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1929. JFM 55.0808.02

[2] Coolidge, J. L.: *A Treatise on Algebraic Plane Curves.* Oxford Univ. Press. 1931 (reprinted 1959). JFM 57.0820.06 and Zbl 0085.36403

[3] Degtyarev, A.: *Isotopy classification of complex plane projective curves of degree five.* Leningr. Math. J. **1** (1990), 881–904. Zbl 0725.14025

[4] Fenske, T.: *Rational* 1- *and* 2-*cuspidal plane curves.* Beitr. Algeba Geom. **40**(2) (1999), 309–329. Zbl 0959.14012

[5] Flenner, H.; Zaidenberg, M.: *On a class of rational cuspidal plane curves.* Manuscr. Math. **89** (1996), 439–460. Zbl 0868.14014

[6] Flenner, H.; Zaidenberg, M.: *Rational cuspidal plane curves of type* $(d, d-3)$. Math. Nachr. **210** (2000), 93–110. Zbl 0948.14020

[7] Sakai, F.; Saleem, M.: *Rational plane curves of type* $(d, d-2)$. Saitama Math. J. **22** (2004), 11–34. Zbl 1079.14042

[8] Sakai, F.; Tono, K.: *Rational cuspidal curves of type* $(d, d-2)$ *with one or two cusps.* Osaka J. Math. **37** (2000), 405–415. Zbl 0969.14020

Received January 7, 2008