МАТЕМАТИЧКИ ВЕСНИК
56 (2004), 63–76

UDK 515.122.2
оригинални научни рад
research paper

UNIFICATION OF SOME CONCEPTS SIMILAR TO THE LINDELÖF PROPERTY

T. Hatice Yalvaç

Abstract. In this paper the $\varphi_{1,2}$-Lindelöf property is defined and studied with the aim of unifying various concepts related to the Lindelöf property in General Topology.

1. Introduction

Unifications of various concepts in general topology have been studied in [1, 10–16,18, 21, 24–28]. Although the unifications discussed in [11] and [13] using operations were given for fuzzy topological spaces, it was pointed out that they apply equally well to topological spaces.

A principle aim of unification theory is to reduce the confusion caused by a plethora of very similar properties. For the most part we will not repeat here the definitions of the various properties used to illustrate the results obtained, although these will usually be clear from the particular choice of operations involved.

In a topological space (X, τ) we will use int, cl, scl, etc., to stand for the interior, closure and semi-closure operations, and so on. We will also use A^o, $\overline{A}$ to stand for the interior and closure, respectively, of a subset A of X.

Definition 1.1. Let (X, τ) be a topological space. A mapping $\varphi\colon P(X) \to P(X)$ is called an *operation on* (X, τ) if $A^o \subset \varphi(A)$ for all $A \in P(X)$ and $\varphi(\emptyset) = \emptyset$.

The class of all operations on a topological space (X, τ) will be denoted by $O(X, \tau)$.

A partial order "$\leq$" on $O(X, \tau)$ is defined by $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2 \Leftrightarrow \varphi_1(A) \subset \varphi_2(A)$ for each $A \in P(X)$. An operation $\varphi \in O(X, \tau)$ is called *monotonous* if $\varphi(A) \subset \varphi(B)$ whenever $A \subset B$, $(A, B \in P(X))$.

Definition 1.2. Let $\varphi \in O(X, \tau)$ and $A, B \subset X$. Then A is called *φ-open* if $A \subset \varphi(A)$. Likewise, B is called *φ-closed* if $X \setminus B$ is φ-open. An operation

AMS Subject Classification: 54 D 20, 54 A 20
Keywords and phrases: Lindelöf property, operation, unification, filter, convergence, supra-topology.

$\tilde{\varphi} \in O(X,\tau)$ is called the *dual operation of* φ if $\tilde{\varphi}(A) = X \setminus \varphi(X \setminus A)$ for each $A \in P(X)$.

If φ is monotonous, then the family of all φ-open sets is a supratopology ($\mathcal{U} \subset P(X)$) is a supratopology on X means that $\emptyset \in \mathcal{U}$, $X \in \mathcal{U}$ and $\mathcal{U}$ is closed under arbitrary unions [2]).

Let (X,τ) be a topological space, $\varphi \in O(X,\tau)$, $\mathcal{U} \subset P(X)$ and $x \in X$. We will use the following notation.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{U}(x) &= \{\, U : x \in U \in \mathcal{U} \,\},\\
\varphi O(X) &= \{\, U : U \subset X,\ U \text{ is } \varphi\text{-open} \,\},\\
\varphi C(X) &= \{\, K : K \subset X,\ K \text{ is } \varphi\text{-closed} \,\},\\
\varphi O(X,x) &= \{\, U : U \in \varphi O(X),\ x \in U \,\},\\
\mathcal{N}(\mathcal{U},x) &= \{\, N : N \subset X \text{ and there exists a } U \in \mathcal{U}(x) \text{ such that } U \subset N \,\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 1.3. Take $\varphi \in O(X,\tau)$ and $\mathcal{U} \subset P(X)$. Then φ is called *regular with respect to* (shortly w.r.t.) $\mathcal{U}$ if $x \in X$ and given $U, V \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ there exists $W \in \mathcal{U}(x)$ such that $\varphi(W) \subset \varphi(U) \cap \varphi(V)$.

For any operation $\varphi \in O(X,\tau)$, $\tau \subset \varphi O(X)$ and $X, \emptyset$ are both φ-open and φ-closed.

Definition 1.4. Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in O(X,\tau)$, $A \subset X$. Then

a) $x \in \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{int} A \Leftrightarrow$ there exists $U \in \varphi_1 O(X,x)$ such that $\varphi_2(U) \subset A$.

b) $x \in \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} A \Leftrightarrow$ for each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X,x)$, $\varphi_2(U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

c) A is $\varphi_{1,2}$-open $\Leftrightarrow A \subset \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{int} A$.

d) A is $\varphi_{1,2}$-closed $\Leftrightarrow \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} A \subset A$.

If $A \subset B$ then $\varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{int} A \subset \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{int} B$ and $\varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} A \subset \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} B$. Clearly, for any set A we have $X \setminus \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{int} A = \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl}(X \setminus A)$ and A is $\varphi_{1,2}$-open iff $X \setminus A$ is $\varphi_{1,2}$-closed.

We will use $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$ ($\varphi_{1,2}C(X)$) to denote the family of all $\varphi_{1,2}$-open subsets (the family of all $\varphi_{1,2}$-closed subsets) of X.

Theorem 1.5. ([13]) *Let $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in O(X,\tau)$.*

a) *$\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$ is a supratopology on X.*

b) *If φ_2 is regular w.r.t. $\varphi_1 O(X)$ then $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$ is a topology on X and a subset K of X is closed w.r.t. this topology iff $\varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} K \subset K$.*

c) *If φ_2 is regular w.r.t. $\varphi_1 O(X)$ and if $\varphi_2 \geq \imath$ or $\varphi_2 \geq \varphi_1$ then $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$ is a topology on X and a set K is closed w.r.t. this topology iff $\varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} K = K$.*

In [17], conditions were obtained under which the operator $k\colon P(X) \to P(X)$ defined by $k(A) = \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} A$ for each $A \in P(X)$ is a Kuratowski closure operator.

Example 1.6. Let the following operations be defined on a topological space (X,τ): $\varphi_1 = \operatorname{int}$, $\varphi_2 = \operatorname{cl} \circ \operatorname{int}$, $\varphi_3 = \operatorname{cl}$, $\varphi_4 = \operatorname{scl}$, $\varphi_5 = \imath$ ($\imath$ is the identity operation), $\varphi_6 = \operatorname{int} \circ \operatorname{cl}$. Then:

$\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_2 \leq \varphi_3$, $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_5 \leq \varphi_4 \leq \varphi_3$ and $\varphi_1 \leq \varphi_6 \leq \varphi_4$.

$\varphi_1 O(X) = \tau$, $\varphi_2 O(X) = SO(X) =$ the family of semi-open sets.

$\varphi_3 O(X) = \varphi_5 O(X) = \varphi_4 O(X) = P(X) =$ the power set of X.

$\varphi_6 O(X) = PO(X) =$ the family of pre-open sets.

$\varphi_{1,3} O(X) = \tau_\theta =$ the topology of all θ-open sets.

$\varphi_{2,4} O(X) = S\theta O(X) =$ the family of semi-θ-open sets.

$\varphi_{1,6} O(X) = \tau_s =$ the semi regularization topology of X. This is the topology with base $RO(X)$ consisting of the regular open sets = the family of δ-open sets.

$\varphi_{2,3} O(X) = \theta SO(X) =$ the family of all θ-semi-open sets.

φ_1, φ_3 (φ_2, φ_6) are pairs of dual operations. Also, φ_2, φ_3, φ_4, φ_5 and φ_6 are regular w.r.t. $\varphi_1 O(X)$.

We use $SC(X)$ ($PC(X)$, $RC(X)$, $S\theta C(X)$ and $\theta SC(X)$, respectively) to denote the family of semi-closed (pre-closed, regular closed, semi-θ-closed, and θ-semi-closed) sets.

$SR(X) = SO(X) \cap SC(X) =$ the family of semi-regular sets.

Clearly if φ_1 is monotonous and $\varphi_2 = \imath$ for $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in O(X, \tau)$, then $\varphi_{1,2} O(X) = \varphi_1 O(X)$ and $\varphi_{1,2} C(X) = \varphi_1 C(X)$.

2. Filters

Throughout this section the operations φ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ are defined on a topological space (X, τ).

Definition 2.1. ([26]) Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a filter (or filter-base) in (X, τ) and $a \in X$. Then $\mathcal{F}$ is said to:

a) $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulate to a if $a \in \bigcap \{\varphi_{1,2} \operatorname{cl} F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$;

b) $\varphi_{1,2}$-converge to a if for each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X, a)$, there exists an $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \subset \varphi_2(U)$.

Theorem 2.2. ([26]) 1) *A filter-base $\mathcal{F}_b$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates ($\varphi_{1,2}$-converges) to a iff filter generated by $\mathcal{F}_b$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates ($\varphi_{1,2}$-converges) to a.*

2) *If φ_2 is monotonous, we can take the family $\mathcal{N}(\varphi_1 O(X), a)$ instead of $\varphi_1 O(X, a)$ in the above definitions.*

3) *A filter $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to a iff $\{\varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X, a)\} \subset \mathcal{F}$.*

4) *If $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to a then $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates to a.*

5) *Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{F}'$ for the filters $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}'$*

a) *If $\mathcal{F}'$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates to a, then $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates to a.*

b) *If $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to a then $\mathcal{F}'$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to a.*

6) *If $\varphi_1^{'} O(X) \subset \varphi_1 O(X)$ and $\varphi_2^{'} \geq \varphi_2$ for the operations φ_1, φ_2, $\varphi_1^{'}$, $\varphi_2^{'} \in O(X, \tau)$, then a filter (or a filter-base) $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}^{'}$-accumulates ($\varphi_{1,2}^{'}$-converges) to a whenever $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates ($\varphi_{1,2}$-converges) to a.*

A space (X,τ) is called $\varphi_{1,2}$-T_2 if for each $x,y\in X$ $(x\neq y)$ there are φ_1-open sets U_x and U_y such that $x\in U_x$, $y\in U_y$ and $\varphi_2(U_x)\cap\varphi_2(U_y)=\emptyset$ [13, 26].

THEOREM 2.3. ([26]) *Let (X,τ) be a $\varphi_{1,2}$-T_2 space. If a filter $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to some point $a\in X$ and $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates to some point $b\in X$ then $a=b$.*

EXAMPLE 2.4. Let $a\in X$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be a filter in (X,τ).

a) Let $\varphi_1=\mathrm{cl}\circ\mathrm{int}$, $\varphi_2=\mathrm{cl}$. $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges ($\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates) to a iff $\mathcal{F}$ rc-converges (rc-accumulates) to a since $\{\overline{V}:V\in\tau,x\in\overline{V}\}=\{\overline{U}:x\in U\in SO(X)\}$ [9].

b) Let $\varphi_1=\mathrm{int}$, $\varphi_2=\mathrm{cl}$. $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to a iff $\mathcal{F}$ r-converges [8] (or equivalently almost converges [4]) to a. (X,τ) is $\varphi_{1,2}$-T_2 iff (X,τ) is Urysohn.

c) Let $\varphi_1=\mathrm{int}$, $\varphi_2=\imath$. $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to a iff $\mathcal{F}$ converges to a in (X,τ). (X,τ) is $\varphi_{1,2}$-T_2 iff (X,τ) is Hausdorff.

A filter $\mathcal{F}$ is called a *σ-filter* if $\mathcal{F}$ is closed under countable intersections and a filter-base $\mathcal{F}_b$ is called a *σ-filter-base* if $\mathcal{F}_b$ is a base of a σ-filter. Clearly in any topological space (X,τ), for any $\varphi\in O(X,\tau)$, $\mathcal{F}=\{X\}$ is a σ-filter and $X\in\varphi O(X)$.

LEMMA 2.5. *If $\varphi_2\geq\varphi_1$ or $\varphi_2\geq\imath$, then $\varphi_1O(X)\subset\varphi_2O(X)$. The converse is false.*

EXAMPLE 2.6. Let $\varphi_1=\mathrm{cl}\circ\mathrm{int}$, $\varphi_2=$ semi- int be defined on $\mathbb{R}$ with the usual topology. For $A=(0,1]$, $\varphi_1(A)=[0,1]$, $\varphi_2(A)=(0,1]$ and $\varphi_1(A)\not\subset\varphi_2(A)$. i.e. $\varphi_1\not\leq\varphi_2$.

For the set of rational numbers $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathbb{Q}\not\subset\varphi_2(\mathbb{Q})=\emptyset$. i.e. $\varphi_2\not\geq\imath$. But $\varphi_1O(\mathbb{R})=SO(\mathbb{R})=\varphi_2O(\mathbb{R})$.

It can be easily seen that if φ_2 is regular w.r.t. $\varphi_1O(X)$ and $\varphi_1O(X)\subset\varphi_2O(X)$ then $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$ is the same topology as that given in Theorem 1.5 (c).

THEOREM 2.7. *Let $A\subset X$. Then:*

1) *If there exists a σ-filter $\mathcal{F}$ containing A which $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates to a, then $a\in\varphi_{1,2}clA$.*

2) *If $\varphi_1O(X)$ is closed under countable intersections and φ_2 is monotonous, then,*

a) *$a\in\varphi_{1,2}\,\mathrm{cl}\,A$ iff there exists a σ-filter containing A which $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to a.*

b) *A is $\varphi_{1,2}$-closed iff whenever there exists a σ-filter which contains A and $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to a point a in X, then $a\in A$.*

For the remainder of this section we will assume that $\varphi_1O(X)$ is closed under countable intersections.

THEOREM 2.8. *If φ_2 is monotonous then a σ-filter $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates to a point a iff there exists a σ-filter $\mathcal{F}'$ such that $\mathcal{F}\subset\mathcal{F}'$ and $\mathcal{F}'$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to a.*

THEOREM 2.9. a) *If $\varphi_1 O(X) \subset \varphi_2 O(X)$ then $\Phi_a = \varphi_1 O(X, a)$ is σ-filterbase for each $a \in X$ and Φ_a $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to a.*

b) *If φ_2 is monotonous and $\varphi_1 O(X) \subset \varphi_2 O(X)$ then $\Phi_a = \{\varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X, a)\}$ is a σ-filter-base that $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to a.*

If φ_2 is monotonous, then since $\varphi_1 O(X)$ is closed under countable intersections, clearly φ_2 is regular w.r.t. $\varphi_1 O(X)$.

THEOREM 2.10. *Let φ_2 be monotonous and $\varphi_1 O(X)$ closed under countable intersections. For any $A \in P(X)$, let us define*

$$\mathrm{cl}^* A = \{\, x : \text{ there exists a } \sigma\text{-filter which contains } A \text{ and } \varphi_{1,2}\text{-converges to } x \,\}.$$

Clearly $\mathrm{cl}^* A = \varphi_{1,2} \mathrm{cl}\, A$.

a) *The* cl^* *operator defines the topology τ^* given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^* &= \{\, U \subset X : (X \setminus U)^* \subset X \setminus U \,\} \\ &= \{\, U \subset X : \varphi_{1,2} \mathrm{cl}(X \setminus U) \subset X \setminus U \,\} = \varphi_{1,2} O(X). \end{aligned}$$

b) *If $\varphi_1 O(X) \subset \varphi_2 O(X)$ then,*

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^* &= \{\, U \subset X : (X \setminus U)^* = X \setminus U \,\} \\ &= \{\, U \subset X : \varphi_{1,2} \mathrm{cl}\, A = A \,\} = \varphi_{1,2} O(X). \end{aligned}$$

c) *If $\varphi_1 O(X) \subset \varphi_2 O(X)$ and $\{\varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\} \subset \varphi_{1,2} O(X)$ then* cl^* *and $\varphi_{1,2}$* cl *are Kuratowski closure operators, since in this case $\varphi_{1,2} \mathrm{cl}(\varphi_{1,2} \mathrm{cl}\, A) = \varphi_{1,2} \mathrm{cl}\, A$, for each $A \in P(X)$.*

EXAMPLE 2.11. Let $\varphi_1 = \mathrm{int}$, $\varphi_2 = \mathrm{cl}$. Then:

$\varphi_1 O(X) = \tau$. φ_2 is monotonous, regular w.r.t. $\varphi_1 O(X)$ and $\varphi_2 \geq \varphi_1$. Also, $\varphi_{1,2} \mathrm{cl}\, A = \theta \mathrm{cl}\, A$ for any $A \in P(X)$ and $\mathrm{cl}^* A = \{x :$ there exists a σ-filter $\mathcal{F}$ such that $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to x$\}$.

If (X, τ) is a P space (i.e. each G_δ set is open), then a set A is θ-closed iff $\theta \mathrm{cl}\, A = A$ iff whenever σ-filter contains A and $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to a point a in X, then $a \in A$.

3. The Lindelöf property

DEFINITION 3.1. Take $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in O(X, \tau)$, $X \in \mathcal{A} \subset P(X)$ and $A \subset X$.

a) If $A \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ for a subfamily $\mathcal{U}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{U}$ is called an $\mathcal{A}$-cover of A. If an $\mathcal{A}$-cover $\mathcal{U}$ of A is countable (finite) then we call $\mathcal{U}$ a countable $\mathcal{A}$-cover (finite $\mathcal{A}$-cover) of A.

b) If each $\mathcal{A}$-cover $\mathcal{U}$ of A has a countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}'$ such that $A \subset \bigcup\{\varphi_2(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$, then we say that A is ($\mathcal{A}$-φ_2)-Lindelöf relative to X (shortly, a ($\mathcal{A}$-φ_2)-L. set)).

c) We call a ($\mathcal{A}$-$\imath$)-Lindelöf set relative to X a $\mathcal{A}$-L. set for short.

d) If we take $\mathcal{A} = \varphi_1 O(X)$ in (b), then we say A is $\varphi_{1,2}$-Lindelöf relative to X (shortly, a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set).

If we take $\mathcal{A} = \varphi_{1,2}O(X)$ in (c) we get the definition of a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. set.

If X is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set relative to itself, then X will be called a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. space.

If X is a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. set relative to itself, then X will be called a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. space.

In the sequel it will be assumed that all operations φ_i, $i = 1, 2, \ldots$ are defined on (X, τ) whenever they are used.

THEOREM 3.2. *If $X \in \mathcal{A}' \subset \mathcal{A} \subset P(X)$ and $\varphi_2 \leq \varphi_2'$ then each ($\mathcal{A}$-φ_2)-L. set is an ($\mathcal{A}'$-φ_2')-L. set. So if $\varphi_1' O(X) \subset \varphi_1 O(X)$ and $\varphi_2 \leq \varphi_2'$ then a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set is a $\varphi_{1,2}'$-L. set.*

COROLLARY 3.3. *If $\varphi_1' \leq \varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2 \leq \varphi_2'$, then each $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set is a $\varphi_{1,2}'$-L. set.*

REMARK 3.4. If φ_2 is regular w.r.t. $\varphi_1 O(X)$, then a subset A of X is a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. set iff A is Lindelöf relative to X in the topological space $(X, \varphi_{1,2}O(X))$.

EXAMPLE 3.5. Let $\varphi_1 = \mathrm{cl} \circ \mathrm{int}$, $\varphi_2 = \mathrm{scl}$, $\varphi_1' = \mathrm{int}$, $\varphi_2' = \mathrm{int} \circ \mathrm{cl}$, $\varphi_1'' = \mathrm{int}$ and $\varphi_2'' = \mathrm{cl}$. For a subset A, we have:

A is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set iff A is a ($SO(X)$-scl)-L. set iff A is an SR-L. set (see Example 3.10 below).

An SR-L. space was called rs-Lindelöf in [7].

A is a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. set iff A is a $S\theta O(X)$-L. set iff A is Lindelöf relative to X in the supratopological space $(X, S\theta O(X))$.

Note that $\varphi_{1,2}^{'}$-L. sets were defined for $H(P)$ spaces under the name C_1-closed relative to X [23] and $\varphi_{1,2}^{'}$-L. spaces were defined for $H(P)$ spaces under the name strongly $H(P)$-closed spaces [23].

A is a $\varphi_{1,2}^{'}O(X)$-L. set iff A is Lindelöf relative to X in (X, τ_s).

The set A is a $\varphi_{1,2}''O(X)$-L. set iff A is Lindelöf relative to X in (X, τ_θ).

$H(P)$-closedness was defined for $H(P)$ spaces. An $H(P)$ space is called $H(P)$-closed iff it is a $\varphi_{1,2}''$-L. space [20].

Sets which are $H(P)$-closed relative to X were defined in $H(P)$ spaces in [19]. So, in an $H(P)$ space, a set A is $H(P)$-closed relative to X iff A is a $\varphi_{1,2}''$-L. set.

A $\varphi_{1,2}''$-L. space was called weakly Lindelöf in [5].

Since $\varphi_1'' \leq \varphi_1$ and $\varphi_2 \leq \varphi_2''$, each $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set is a $\varphi_{1,2}''$-L. set. Hence each SR-L. set is a (τ-cl)-L. set and each rs-Lindelöf space is a weakly Lindelöf space.

THEOREM 3.6. *If $\varphi_2 \geq \varphi_1$ or $\varphi_2 \geq \imath$, and if φ_1 is monotonous, then each $\varphi_1 O(X)$-L. set is a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. set.*

Proof. It can be easily seen that $\varphi_{1,2}O(X) \subset \varphi_1 O(X)$ under the given conditions [13], from which the proof is clear. ∎

THEOREM 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\}$. Then:*

a) *If $\varphi_2(U) \in \varphi_1 O(X)$ and $\varphi_2(\varphi_2(U)) \subset \varphi_2(U)$ for each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X)$ then $\mathcal{B} \subset \varphi_{1,2} O(X) \cap \varphi_1 O(X)$.*

b) *If $\varphi_1 O(X) \subset \varphi_2 O(X)$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \varphi_{1,2} O(X)$, then $\mathcal{B}$ is a base for the supratopology $\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$.*

c) *If $\varphi_2 \geq \varphi_1$ or $\varphi_2 \geq \imath$, and if $\mathcal{B} \subset \varphi_{1,2} O(X)$, then $\mathcal{B}$ is a base for the supratopology $\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$ [24].*

d) *If $\varphi_2 \geq \varphi_1$ or $\varphi_2 \geq \imath$, and if $\varphi_2(U) \in \varphi_1 O(X)$, $\varphi_2(\varphi_2(U)) \subset \varphi_2(U)$ for each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X)$, then $\mathcal{B}$ is a base for the supratopology $\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$ [24].*

Proof. a) Let $U \in \varphi_1 O(X)$ and $x \in \varphi_2(U)$. Then $x \in \varphi_2(U) \in \varphi_1 O(X)$ and $\varphi_2(\varphi_2(U)) \subset \varphi_2(U)$, so $x \in \varphi_{1,2} \operatorname{int} \varphi_2(U)$. We have $\varphi_2(U) \subset \varphi_{1,2} \operatorname{int} \varphi_2(U)$. Hence $\varphi_2(U) \in \varphi_{1,2} O(X)$ for each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X)$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \varphi_{1,2} O(X) \cap \varphi_1 O(X)$.

b) Let $A \in \varphi_{1,2} O(X)$ and $x \in A$. There exists a $U \in \varphi_1 O(X, x)$ such that $\varphi_2(U) \subset A$. Hence we have $x \in U \subset \varphi_2(U) \subset A$, $\varphi_2(U) \in \varphi_{1,2} O(X)$ and $\varphi_2(U) \in \mathcal{B}$.

The proofs of (c) and (d) are clear from Lemma 2.5 and (a), (b). ∎

THEOREM 3.8. *The following results are valid.*

1) *If A is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set, then it is a $\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$-L. set.*

2) *Let $\mathcal{B} \subset P(X)$. Then:*

a) *If $X \in \mathcal{B} \subset \varphi_{1,2} O(X)$, then each $\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$-L. set is a $\mathcal{B}$-L. set.*

b) *If $\mathcal{B}$ is a base of $\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$ then a set is a $\mathcal{B}$-L. set iff it is a $\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$-L. set.*

3) *Let $\mathcal{B} = \{\varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\}$. Then:*

a) *If $\varphi_1 O(X) \subset \varphi_2 O(X)$ then each $\mathcal{B}$-L. set is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set.*

b) *If $\varphi_1 O(X) \subset \varphi_2 O(X)$ and $\mathcal{B} \subset \varphi_{1,2} O(X)$ then a set is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set iff it is a $\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$-L. set iff it is a $\mathcal{B}$-L. set.*

c) *If $\varphi_2 \geq \varphi_1$ or $\varphi_2 \geq \imath$, and if $\mathcal{B} \subset \varphi_{1,2} O(X)$, then a set is a $\mathcal{B}$-L. set iff it is a $\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$-L. set iff it is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set.*

d) *If $\varphi_2 \geq \varphi_1$ or $\varphi_2 \geq \imath$, and if $\varphi_2(U) \in \varphi_1 O(X)$, $\varphi_2(\varphi_2(U)) \subset \varphi_2(U)$ for each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X)$, then a set is a $\mathcal{B}$-L. set iff it is a $\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$-L. set iff it is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set.*

THEOREM 3.9. *If $\varphi_2(U) = \varphi_3(U)$ for each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X)$, then for a subset A, we have:*

a) *A is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set iff it is a $\varphi_{1,3}$-L. set.*

b) *A is a $\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$-L. set iff it is a $\varphi_{1,3} O(X)$-L. set.*

EXAMPLE 3.10. a) Let $\varphi_1 = \operatorname{cl} \circ \operatorname{int}$, $\varphi_2 = \operatorname{scl}$. Then:

$\varphi_1 O(X) = SO(X)$ and $\varphi_{1,2} O(X) = S\theta O(X)$. For $U \in \varphi_1 O(X) = SO(X)$ we have $\varphi_2(U) = \operatorname{scl} U \in SR(X) \subset SO(X)$. Also, $\varphi_2(\varphi_2(U)) = \operatorname{scl}(\operatorname{scl} U) =$

$\operatorname{scl} U = \varphi_2(U)$ and $\varphi_2 \geq \imath$. Thus, $\mathcal{B} = \{\operatorname{scl} U : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\} = SR(X)$, whence $\mathcal{B} = SR(X)$ is a base for the supratopology $\varphi_{1,2}O(X) = S\theta O(X)$.

So, a set is a SR-L. set iff it is a $(SO(X)$-scl)-L. set iff it is a $S\theta O(X)$-L. set.

b) Let $\varphi_1 = \operatorname{int}$, $\varphi_2 = \operatorname{int} \circ \operatorname{cl}$, $\varphi_3 = \operatorname{scl}$. Then:

$\varphi_1 O(X) = \tau$, $\varphi_{1,2}O(X) = \varphi_{1,3}O(X) = \tau_s$, $\varphi_2 \geq \varphi_1$ and $\varphi_3 \geq \varphi_1$. For each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X) = \tau$ we have $\varphi_2(U) = \overline{U}^o = U \cup \overline{U}^o = \operatorname{scl} U$ [3], and $\varphi_3(U) = \varphi_2(U) \in \varphi_1 O(X) = \tau$, $\varphi_2(\varphi_2(U)) = \overline{(\overline{U}^o)}^o = \overline{U}^o = \varphi_2(U)$. Then, $\mathcal{B} = \{\varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\} = RO(X)$ and $RO(X)$ is a base for τ_s.

So, a set is a $RO(X)$-L. set iff it is a $(\tau$-int $\circ$ cl)-L. set iff it is a τ_s-L. set iff a $(\tau$-scl)-L. set.

Remark 3.11. a) For $\varphi_2 = \imath$, the $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. property relative to X coincides with the $\varphi_1 O(X)$-L. property relative to X.

b) If φ_1 is monotonous and $\varphi_2 = \imath$, then for $A \subset X$ we have:

The set A is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set iff A is a $\varphi_1 O(X)$-L. set iff A is a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. set.

Proof. a) Clear.

b) If φ_1 is monotonous then $\varphi_1 O(X)$ is a supratopology and for $\varphi_2 = \imath$ we have $\varphi_1 O(X) = \varphi_{1,2}O(X)$, from which the result follows easily. ∎

Theorem 3.12. *Take $X \in \mathcal{A} \subset P(X)$, $A \subset X$ and let $\mathcal{K} = \{X \setminus U : U \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

a) *A is an $\mathcal{A}$-L. set.*

b) *If $\mathcal{W}$ is any subfamily of $\mathcal{K}$ such that for each countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$ we have $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}') \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}) \neq \emptyset$.*

c) *If $\mathcal{W}$ is any subfamily of $\mathcal{K}$ with $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}) = \emptyset$ then there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$ such that $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}') = \emptyset$.*

Theorem 3.13. *If $\emptyset$, $X \in \mathcal{A} \subset P(X)$, then X is an $\mathcal{A}$-L. space iff for each $U \in \mathcal{A}$, $X \setminus U$ is a $\mathcal{A}$-L. set.*

If we take $\mathcal{A} = \varphi_{1,2}O(X)$ in Theorem 3.12 and Theorem 3.13, then $\mathcal{K} = \varphi_{1,2}C(X)$ and we obtain equivalent conditions for a set to be a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. set, and equivalent conditions for the space X to be a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. space.

Theorem 3.14. *If $\widetilde{\varphi_2}$ is the dual of φ_2 then the following are equivalent.*

a) *A is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set.*

b) *For any family Φ of φ_1-closed sets with $A \cap (\bigcap \Phi) = \emptyset$, there exists a countable subfamily Φ' of Φ such that $A \cap (\bigcap \{\widetilde{\varphi_2}(F) : F \in \Phi'\}) = \emptyset$.*

c) *If Φ is a family of φ_1-closed sets such that for each countable subfamily Φ' of Φ we have $A \cap (\bigcap \{\widetilde{\varphi_2}(F) : F \in \Phi'\}) \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cap (\cap \Phi) \neq \emptyset$.*

Theorem 3.15. *Take $A \subset X$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{\varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\}$. If $\varphi_2 \geq \varphi_1$ or $\varphi_2 \geq \imath$, and if $\varphi_2(U) \in \varphi_1 O(X)$, $\varphi_2(\varphi_2(U)) \subset \varphi_2(U)$ for each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X)$, then the following are equivalent:*

a) *A is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set.*

b) *A is a $\mathcal{B}$-L. set.*

c) *A is a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. set.*

d) *If $\mathcal{W}$ is any subfamily of $\{X \setminus \varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\}$ such that for each countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$ we have $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}') \neq \emptyset$ then $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}) \neq \emptyset$.*

e) *If $\mathcal{W}$ is any subfamily of $\{X \setminus \varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\}$ with $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}) = \emptyset$ then there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$ such that $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}') = \emptyset$.*

f) *If $\mathcal{W}$ is any subfamily of $\{X \setminus U : U \in \varphi_{1,2}O(X)\}$ such that for each countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$ we have $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}') \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cap (\cap \mathcal{W}) \neq \emptyset$.*

g) *If $\mathcal{W}$ is any subfamily of $\{X \setminus U : U \in \varphi_{1,2}O(X)\}$ such that $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}) = \emptyset$, then there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$ such that $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}') = \emptyset$.*

If $\widetilde{\varphi_2}$ is the dual of φ_2 then the following statements (h) and (i) are equivalent to each one of the above statements.

h) *For any family of Φ of φ_1-closed sets with $A \cap (\bigcap \Phi) = \emptyset$, there exists a countable subfamily Φ' of Φ such that $A \cap (\bigcap \{\widetilde{\varphi_2}(F) : F \in \Phi'\}) = \emptyset$.*

i) *If Φ is any family of φ_1-closed sets such that for each countable subfamily Φ' of Φ we have $A \cap (\bigcap \{\widetilde{\varphi_2}(F) : F \in \Phi'\}) \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cap (\bigcap \Phi) \neq \emptyset$.*

Now, using the equality $\varphi_{1,2}C(X) = \{X \setminus U : U \in \varphi_{1,2}O(X)\}$ and the Theorems 3.13 and 3.15 we obtain the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.16. *Under the hypotheses of Theorem 3.15 the following are equivalent.*

a) *X is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. space.*

b) *X is a $\mathcal{B}$-L. space.*

c) *X is a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. space.*

d) *For each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X)$, $X \setminus \varphi_2(U)$ is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set.*

e) *For each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X)$, $X \setminus \varphi_2(U)$ is a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. set.*

f) *For each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X)$, $X \setminus \varphi_2(U)$ is a $\mathcal{B}$-L. set.*

g) *Each $\varphi_{1,2}$-closed set is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set.*

h) *Each $\varphi_{1,2}$-closed set is a $\varphi_{1,2}O(X)$-L. set.*

i) *Each $\varphi_{1,2}$-closed set is a $\mathcal{B}$-L. set.*

Other equivalent expressions may be obtained by taking X in place of A in (d–i) *of Theorem 3.15.*

EXAMPLE 3.17. Let $\varphi_1 = \text{semi-int}$, $\varphi_2 = \text{scl}$. Then:

The conditions of Theorem 3.15 are satisfied and φ_2 is the dual of φ_1.

$\varphi_1 O(X) = SO(X)$, $\varphi_1 C(X) = SC(X)$, $\mathcal{B} = \{\varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\} = \{\text{scl}\, U : U \in SO(X)\} = SR(X)$.

$\{X \setminus \varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\} = SR(X)$. $\varphi_{1,2}O(X) = S\theta O(X)$ and $\varphi_{1,2}C(X) = S\theta C(X)$.

Now we obtain equivalent conditions for a set to be a ($SO(X)$-scl)-L. set by using Theorem 3.15, and equivalent conditions for the space (X,τ) to be a ($SO(X)$-scl)-L. space (equivalently, SR-Lindelöf) by using Theorem 3.16.

THEOREM 3.18. *Let (X,τ) be a topological space and $A \subset X$. Then the following are equivalent.*

a) *For each $SO(X)$-cover $\mathcal{U}$ of A, there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}'$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $A \subset \cup\{\operatorname{scl} U : U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$.*

b) *For each $SR(X)$-cover $\mathcal{U}$ of A there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}'$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $A \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}'$.*

c) *For each $S\theta O(X)$-cover $\mathcal{U}$ of A, there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}'$ of A such that $A \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}'$.*

d) *If $\mathcal{W}$ is a subfamily of $SR(X)$ such that for each countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$, we have $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}') \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}) \neq \emptyset$.*

e) *If $\mathcal{W}$ is any subfamily of $SR(X)$ such that $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}) = \emptyset$, then there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$ such that $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}') = \emptyset$.*

f) *If $\mathcal{W}$ is a subfamily of $S\theta C(X)$ such that for each countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$ we have $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}') \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}) \neq \emptyset$.*

g) *If $\mathcal{W}$ is any subfamily of $S\theta C(X)$ with $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}) = \emptyset$ then there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$ such that $A \cap (\bigcap \mathcal{W}') = \emptyset$.*

h) *For any subfamily Φ of semi-closed sets with $A \cap (\bigcap \Phi) = \emptyset$ there exists a countable subfamily Φ' of Φ such that $A \cap (\bigcap\{\textit{semi-}\operatorname{int}(F) : F \in \Phi'\}) = \emptyset$.*

i) *If Φ is any family of semi-closed sets such that for each countable subfamily Φ' of Φ, we have $A \cap (\bigcap\{\textit{semi-}\operatorname{int}(F) : F \in \Phi'\}) \neq \emptyset$, then $A \cap (\bigcap \Phi) \neq \emptyset$.*

For a topological space (X,τ) to be rs-Lindelöf [7] (equivalently, a ($SO(X)$-scl)-L. space), we can add the following equivalent statements to those given in Theorem 3.18, by taking X instead of A there.

j) *Each semi-regular set is a $SR(X)$-L. set.*

k) *Each semi-regular set is a $S\theta O(X)$-L. set.*

l) *Each semi-regular set is a ($SO(X)$-scl)-L. set.*

m) *Each $\varphi_{1,2}$-closed set is a $SR(X)$-L. set.*

n) *Each $\varphi_{1,2}$-closed set is a $S\theta O(X)$-L. set.*

o) *Each $\varphi_{1,2}$-closed set is a ($SO(X)$-scl)-L. set.*

EXAMPLE 3.19. a) Let $\varphi_1 = \operatorname{cl} \circ \operatorname{int}$, $\varphi_2 = \operatorname{cl}$. Then:

The conditions of Theorem 3.15 are satisfied. $\widetilde{\varphi_2} = \operatorname{int}$ is the dual of φ_2. $\varphi_1 O(X) = SO(X)$ and $\varphi_1 C(X) = SC(X)$.

$\mathcal{B} = \{\varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\} = \{\operatorname{cl} U : U \in SO(X)\} = RC(X)$.

$\varphi_{1,2} O(X) = \theta SO(X)$ and $\varphi_{1,2} C(X) = \theta SC(X) = \{X \setminus U : U \in \varphi_{1,2} O(X)\}$. $\{X \setminus B : B \in \mathcal{B}\} = RO(X)$.

A set A is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set iff it is a $(SO(X)$-cl)-L. set iff it is a $\theta SO(X)$-L. set iff it is $RC(X)$-L. set.

Hence we obtain, (X,τ) is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. space iff X is rc-Lindelöf (rc-Lindelöf spaces were defined by D. Janković and Ch. Konstadilaki, as mentioned in [5]).

Again, we can get equivalent conditions for a set to be a $(SO(X)$-cl)-L. set in a topological space (X,τ), and equivalent conditions for a space to be rc-Lindelöf by using Theorems 3.15 and 3.16.

b) Let $\varphi_1 = \text{int}$, $\varphi_2 = \text{int} \circ \text{cl}$ on (X,τ). Then:

The conditions of Theorem 3.15 are satisfied and $\widetilde{\varphi_2} = \text{cl} \circ \text{int}$.

$\varphi_1 O(X) = \tau$, $\varphi_1 C(X) =$ family of closed sets. $\varphi_{1,2} O(X) = \tau_s =$ family of δ-open sets, $\{X \setminus U : U \in \varphi_{1,2} O(X)\} = \varphi_{1,2} C(X) =$ family of τ_s-closed sets = family of δ-closed sets.

$\mathcal{B} = \{\varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\} = \{\overline{U}^o : U \in \tau\} = RO(X)$. $\{X \setminus B : B \in \mathcal{B}\} = \{X \setminus \varphi_2(U) : U \in \varphi_1 O(X)\} = RC(X)$.

Now, using Theorems 3.15 and 3.16 we can obtain equivalent conditions for a set to be a $(\tau\text{-int} \circ \text{cl})$-L. set, and equivalent conditions for a space to be a $(\tau$-int $\circ$ cl)-L. space. Also, in $H(P)$ spaces, equivalent conditions for a set to be C_1-closed relative to the space and equivalent conditions for a space to be strongly $H(P)$-closed.

Some equalities related to different types of closure can be obtained using operations. Some of them were given in [24] and some of them are given below. In a topological space (X,τ) we have:

1) $\overline{T} = \theta\text{-}clT = \tau_s\text{-cl}\, T$, for each $T \in \tau$.

2) θ-semi-cl $T = \text{scl}\, T =$ semi-θ-cl T, for each $T \in \tau$.

3) $\overline{T} = \tau_s\text{-cl}\, T = \tau^\alpha\text{-cl}\, T$, for each $T \in \tau^\alpha$, $(\tau^\alpha = \{U : U \subset X, U \subset \overline{U^o}^o\})$.

4) semi-θ-cl $T = \text{scl}\, T$, for each $T \in \tau^\alpha$.

Example 3.20. Let $\varphi_1 = \text{int}$, $\varphi_2 = \tau$-cl, $\varphi_3 = \theta$-cl, $\varphi_4 = \tau_s$-cl. Then:

$\varphi_1 O(X) = \tau$. For each $U \in \tau$ we have $\varphi_2(U) = \varphi_3(U) = \varphi_4(U)$.

$\widetilde{\varphi_2} = \tau$-int, for each φ_1-closed set K, $\text{int}\, K = \theta\text{-int}\, K = \tau_s\text{-int}\, K$.

$\varphi_{1,2} O(X) = \varphi_{1,3} O(X) = \varphi_{1,4} O(X) = \tau_\theta$.

$\{X \setminus U : U \in \varphi_{1,2} O(X)\} = \varphi_{1,2} C(X) = \varphi_{1,3} C(X) = \varphi_{1,4} C(X) =$ family of θ-closed sets.

Now, by using Theorems 3.9, 3.12, 3.13, 3.14 and 3.16 we can add some more equivalent conditions for a set to be a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set ($\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$-L. set), and for a space (X,τ) to be a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. space ($\varphi_{1,2} O(X)$-L. space) for some special choices of the operations φ_1 and φ_2.

Lemma 3.21. ([25]) *For each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X)$ we have $U \subset \varphi_{1,2}\, \text{int}\, \varphi_2(U)$.*

Theorem 3.22. *The following are equivalent for any subset A of X.*

a) *A is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set.*

b) *Each σ-filter-base in A $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates in X to some point in A.*

c) *Every σ-filter-base in X which meets A $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates in X to some point of A.*

d) *For any family of non-empty sets $\mathcal{W}$ with $A \cap (\bigcap\{\varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} F : F \in \mathcal{W}\}) = \emptyset$, there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$ such that $A \cap (\bigcap\{F : F \in \mathcal{W}'\}) = \emptyset$.*

e) *For any family $\mathcal{W}$ of non-empty sets such that for each countable subfamily $\mathcal{W}'$ of $\mathcal{W}$ we have $A \cap (\bigcap\{F : F \in \mathcal{W}'\}) \neq \emptyset$, it holds that $A \cap (\bigcap\{\varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} F : F \in \mathcal{W}\}) \neq \emptyset$.*

f) *If $\mathcal{F}$ is a σ-filter-base such that $A \cap (\bigcap\{\varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}) = \emptyset$, then there exists an $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \cap A = \emptyset$.*

Proof. $(a \Rightarrow b)$. Let A be a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set. Let us accept that some σ-filter-base $\mathcal{F}_b$ in A does not accumulate to any point in A.

Then, for each $a \in A$ there exists a $U_a \in \varphi_1 O(X, a)$ and an $F_a \in \mathcal{F}_b$ such that $F_a \cap \varphi_2(U_a) = \emptyset$. Since A is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set there is a countable subset C of A such that $A \subset \bigcup_{a\in C} \varphi_2(U_a)$. Hence $(\bigcap_{a\in C} F_a) \cap (\bigcup_{a\in C} \varphi_2(U_a)) = \emptyset$. Hence there exists an $F \in \mathcal{F}_b$ such that $F \subset \bigcap_{a\in C} F_a$. Thus $F \cap A = \emptyset$. This contradiction completes the proof.

$(b \Rightarrow c)$. If $\mathcal{F}_b$ is a σ-filter-base in X which meets A, then $\mathcal{F}'_b = \{A \cap F : F \in \mathcal{F}_b\}$ is a σ-filter-base in A and hence in X.

The σ-filter $\mathcal{F}'$ generated by $\mathcal{F}'_b$ contains A and $\mathcal{F}_b \subset \mathcal{F}'$. From (a), $\mathcal{F}'_b$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates to some point a in A. So $\mathcal{F}_b$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates to a.

$(c \Rightarrow d)$. Let $\{F_i : i \in I\}$ be a family of non-empty sets with $(\cap\{\varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} F_i : i \in I\}) \cap A = \emptyset$. Let us accept that for each countable subset J of I we have $(\bigcap_{j\in J} F_j) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{F}_b = \{\bigcap_{j\in J} F_j : J \subset I, J \text{ countable }\}$ is a σ-filter-base in X which meets A. Hence there exists a point a in A such that $\mathcal{F}_b$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates to a.

For each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X, a)$ and for each $F \in \mathcal{F}_b$, $F \cap \varphi_2(U) \neq \emptyset$. So for each $U \in \varphi_1 O(X, a)$ and for each $i \in I$, $F_i \cap \varphi_2(U) \neq \emptyset$. This gives $a \in (\bigcap_{i\in I} \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} F_i) \cap A \neq \emptyset$. This contradiction completes the proof.

$(d \Rightarrow a)$. Let $A \subset \cup\mathcal{U}$ where $\mathcal{U} \subset \varphi_1 O(X)$. Then $(\bigcap\{X \setminus U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}) \cap A = \emptyset$.

Let $\mathcal{K} = \{X \setminus \varphi_2(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. If there exists a $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $X \setminus \varphi_2(U) = \emptyset$, then $A \subset \varphi_2(U) = X$. On the other hand, if for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, $X \setminus \varphi_2(U) \neq \emptyset$ let us show that $(\bigcap\{\varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl}(X \setminus \varphi_2(U)) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}) \cap A = \emptyset$.

Firstly, let us see that for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ we have $\varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl}(X \setminus \varphi_2(U)) \subset X \setminus U$. Let $U \in \mathcal{U}$ and $x \in \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl}(X \setminus \varphi_2(U)$. From Lemma 3.20, $U \subset \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{int}\varphi_2(U)$. Now $x \in \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl}(X \setminus \varphi_2(U)) = (X \setminus \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{int}\varphi_2(U)) \subset X \setminus U$. Hence

$$(\bigcap\{\varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl}(X \setminus \varphi_2(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}\}) \cap A \subset (\bigcap\{X \setminus U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}) \cap A = \emptyset.$$

From (d) there exists a countable subfamily $\mathcal{U}'$ of $\mathcal{U}$ such that $(\bigcap\{X \setminus \varphi_2(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}'\}) \cap A = \emptyset$. Hence $A \subset \cup\{\varphi_2(U) : U \in \mathcal{U}'\}$, so A is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set.

$d \Leftrightarrow e$, $d \Rightarrow f$ and $f \Rightarrow c$ are clear. ∎

THEOREM 3.23. *If (X,τ) is $\varphi_{1,2}$-T_2, $\varphi_1 O(X)$ is closed under countable intersections and φ_2 is monotonous then each $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set is $\varphi_{1,2}$-closed.*

Proof. Let $x \in \varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} A$. Then there exists a σ-filter $\mathcal{F}$ such that $A \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-converges to x. Since $\mathcal{F}$ meets A and A is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set there exists a point a in A such that $\mathcal{F}$ $\varphi_{1,2}$-accumulates to a.

From Theorem 2.3 we must have $a = x$, so $x \in A$ since we have $\varphi_{1,2}\operatorname{cl} A \subset A$, and A is a $\varphi_{1,2}$-closed set. ∎

EXAMPLE 3.24. Let $\varphi_1 = \operatorname{int}$ and $\varphi_2 \in O(X,\tau)$ be a monotonous operation. Then:

$\varphi_1 O(X) = \tau$. $\varphi_1 O(X)$ is closed under countable intersections iff (X,τ) is a P space.

So, using σ-filters in P spaces we can obtain equivalent characterizations for a $\varphi_{1,2}$-L. set.

If (X,τ) is an $H(P)$-space, then by choosing $\varphi_1 = \operatorname{int}$ and $\varphi_2 = \operatorname{cl}$, we get equivalent conditions for a space to be $H(P)$-closed.

Proceeding in this way we can obtain many known results, some of which occur in [4,7,20,22], and also many new results.

REFERENCES

[**1**] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, F. M. Zeyada and A. S. Mashour, *Operations on topologies and their applications on some types of coverings*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles, **97**,4 (1983), 155–172.

[**2**] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, E. F. Lashien, *Local discrete extensions of supratopologies*, Tamkang J. Math. **21**, 1 (1990), 1–6.

[**3**] D. Andrijević, *On the topology generated by pre-open sets*, Mat. Vesnik, **39** (1987), 367–376.

[**4**] R. F. Dickman, JR and Jack R. Porter, *Θ-perfect and absolutely closed functions*, Illinois J. Math. **21** (1977), 42–60.

[**5**] K. Dlaska, M. Ganster, *Almost rc-Lindelöf spaces*, Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. (second series), **17** (1994), 51–56.

[**6**] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, 1966.

[**7**] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster, *On covering spaces with semi-regular sets*, Ricerche Math. **45** (1996), 229–245.

[**8**] L. L. Herrington, P. E. Long, *Characterizations of H-closed spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **48**, 2 (1975), 469–475.

[**9**] R. A. Herrmann, *RC-Convergence*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **75**, 2 (1979), 311–317.

[**10**] D. S. Janković, *On functions with α-closed graphs*, Glasnik Matematički, **18**, 38 (1983), 141–148.

[**11**] A. Kandil, E. E. Kerre, A. A. Nouh, *Operations and mappings on fuzzy topological spaces*, Ann. Soc. Sci. Bruxelles **105**, 4 (1991), 165–168.

[**12**] S. Kasahara, *Operation-compact spaces*, Math. Japonica **24**, 1 (1979), 97–105.

[**13**] E. E. Kerre, A. A. Nouh, A. Kandil, *Operations on the class of fuzzy sets on a universe endowed with a fuzzy topology*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **180** (1993), 325–341.

[**14**] J. K. Kohli, *A class of mappings containing all continuous and all semiconnected mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **72**, 1 (1978), 175–181.

[**15**] J. K. Kohli, *A framework including the theories of continuities and certain non-continuous functions*, Note di Mat. **10**, 1 (1990), 37–45.

[**16**] J. K. Kohli, *Change of topology, characterizations and product theorems for semi-locally P-spaces*, Houston J. Math. **17**, 3 (1991), 335–349.

[**17**] I. Kökdemir, *Unification of weak continuities and strong continuities*, M. Sc. Thesis, Hacettepe Univ., January 2000.

[**18**] M. N. Mukherjee, G. Sengupta, *On π-closedness: A unified theory*, Anal. Sti. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi, **39**, s. 1.a, Mat. 3 (1993), 1–10.

[**19**] T. G. Raghavan, *Θ-closed subsets of Hausdorff spaces*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. **77** (1985), 289–305.

[**20**] T. G. Raghavan, I. L. Reilly, Kyungpook Math. Journal, **25**, 1 (1985), 5–13.

[**21**] G. Sengupta, M. N. Mukherjee, *On π-closedness, a unified theory II*, Boll. U. M. I. **7**, 8-B (1994), 999–1013.

[**22**] P. T. D. Thanapalan, T. G. Raghavan, *Strongly $H(P)$-closed spaces*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. **77**, (1985), 229–238.

[**23**] P. T. D. Thanapalan, T. G. Raghavan, *Locally strongly $H(P)$-closed spaces*, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc. **77** (1986), 214–228.

[**24**] T. H. Yalvaç, *A unified theory for continuities*, Mat. Vesnik **56** (2004), 1–12.

[**25**] T. H. Yalvaç, *A unified theory on openness and closedness of functions*, submitted.

[**26**] T. H. Yalvaç, *A unified approach to compactness and filters*, Hacettepe Bull. Nat. Sci. Eng. Series B, **29** (2000), 63–75.

[**27**] T. H. Yalvaç, *On some unifications*, The First Turkish International Conference on Topology and Its Applications, August 2000, Hacettepe Bull. Nat. Sci. Eng. Series B, **30** (2001), 27–38.

[**28**] T. H. Yalvaδc, *A unified theory on some basic topological concepts*, International conference on topology and its applications, September 2000, Ohrid.

(received 25.01.2001, in revised form 10.09.2004)

Hacettepe University, Mathematics Department, 06532 Beytepe Ankara, Turkey

E-mail: hayal@hacettepe.edu.tr