PUBLICATIONS DE L'INSTITUT MATHÉMATIQUE
Nouvelle série, tome 94(108) (2013), 111–124 DOI: 10.2298/PIM1308111D

ON RIEMANN AND WEYL COMPATIBLE TENSORS

Ryszard Deszcz, Małgorzata Głogowska, Jan Jełowicki, Miroslava Petrović-Torgašev, and Georges Zafindratafa

Dedicated to Professor Witold Roter on his eighty-first birthday

Abstract. We investigate semi-Riemannian manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions. Those conditions are strongly related to pseudosymmetry.

1. Introduction

Let ∇, R, S, $\mathcal{S}$, κ and C be the Levi-Civita connection, the Riemann–Christoffel curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor, the Ricci operator, the scalar curvature and the Weyl conformal curvature tensor of an n-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g), respectively. For precise definitions of the symbols used, we refer to Section 2 of this paper and [**27**] and [**29**].

Let A be a symmetric $(0, 2)$-tensor and B a generalized curvature tensor on a manifold (M, g), $n \geqslant 3$. According to [**72**, Definition 3.1] (cf. [**73**, Definition 7.1]) the tensor A is called B-compatible if we have on M

$$B(\mathcal{A}X, Y, Z, W) + B(\mathcal{A}Z, Y, W, X) + B(\mathcal{A}W, Y, X, Z) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

$\mathcal{A}$ is the endomorphism of the Lie algebra $\Xi(M)$ of vector fields on M defined by

$$g(\mathcal{A}X, Y) = A(X, Y), \tag{1.2}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 53B20, 53B25, 53B30, 53B50; Secondary 53C40, 53C50, 53C80.

Key words and phrases: quasi-Einstein manifold, warped product, hypersurface, Chen ideal submanifold, Riemann compatible tensor, Tachibana tensor, pseudosymmetry type condition.

The first named author was supported by the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts (SANU), for the participation in the conference of XVII Geometrical Seminar, September 3-8, 2012, Zlatibor, Serbia. He was also supported by a grant of the Technische Universität Berlin, Germany. The second named author was supported partially by SANU for the participation in that conference. The second and third named authors also were supported by a grant of the Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sciences, Poland. The fourth named author thanks the Ministry of Science of the Republic of Serbia, grant 174012, for support, and the Center for Scientific Research of SANU and the University of Kragujevac for their partial support of her research done for this paper.

and $X, Y, Z, W \in \Xi(M)$. In particular, a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor A on M is said to be Riemann compatible (R-compatible) [**73**, Definition 1.1], Weyl compatible (C-compatible) [**74**, Definition 2.1], respectively, if

$$R(\mathcal{A}X, Y, Z, W) + R(\mathcal{A}Z, Y, W, X) + R(\mathcal{A}W, Y, X, Z) = 0, \tag{1.3}$$

$$C(\mathcal{A}X, Y, Z, W) + C(\mathcal{A}Z, Y, W, X) + C(\mathcal{A}W, Y, X, Z) = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

holds on M, respectively. In [**70**, Theorem 3.5] (cf. [**71**, Theorem 4.14]) it was proved that the Ricci tensor S of every Ricci-pseudosymmetric semi-Riemannian manifold ($R \cdot S = L_S Q(g, S)$, see Section 3) is R-compatible, i.e., we have on M

$$R(\mathcal{S}X, Y, Z, W) + R(\mathcal{S}Z, Y, W, X) + R(\mathcal{S}W, Y, X, Z) = 0. \tag{1.5}$$

This result was obtained already in [**2**, Lemma 3.3] and [**28**, Proposition 3.1(iv)] (cf. [**40**, Lemma 2.4]). Unfortunately, [**2**], [**28**] and [**40**] are not cited in [**70**] and [**71**]. We note that (1.5) was also obtained during the study on manifolds satisfying some other curvature conditions of pseudosymmetry type: [**8**, Lemma 3.1, eq. (19)], [**12**, Lemma 3.1, eq. (13); Proposition 3.1, eq. (22)], [**38**, Theorem 4.1, eq. (26)] and [**41**, Proposition 3.9, eq. (43)]. If the Ricci tensor S of a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g), $n \geqslant 4$, is R-compatible, then also it is C-compatible [**72**, Proposition 3.4]. The converse statement is also true [**74**, Theorem 2.4].

In Section 3 we present definitions of quasi-Einstein, pseudosymmetric and Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifolds. In particular, we present curvature properties of manifolds with parallel Weyl tensor. In Section 4 we show that (1.1), and in particular (1.3) and (1.5), are satisfied on certain semi-Riemannian manifolds (Proposition 4.1, Theorems 4.1–4.4). Finally, in the last section we prove that some warped products manifolds also satisfy (1.5) (Theorem 5.1, Remark 5.1).

2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all manifolds (M, g) are assumed to be connected, paracompact, manifolds of class C^∞ with the metric g of signature $(s, n-s)$, $0 \leqslant s \leqslant n$. The manifold (M, g) will be called a semi(pseudo)-Riemannian manifold. Clearly, if $s = 0$ or $s = n$ then (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold. If $s = 1$ or $s = n-1$, then (M, g) is a Lorentzian manifold. We define on M the endomorphisms $X \wedge_A Y$ and $\mathcal{R}(X, Y)$ of the Lie algebra $\Xi(M)$ by $(X \wedge_A Y)Z = A(Y, Z)X - A(X, Z)Y$ and $\mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z = \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X,Y]} Z$, respectively, where A is a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor on M and $X, Y, Z \in \Xi(M)$. The Ricci tensor S, the Ricci operator $\mathcal{S}$, the scalar curvature κ and the endomorphism $\mathcal{C}(X, Y)$ are defined by $S(X, Y) = \operatorname{tr}\{Z \mapsto \mathcal{R}(Z, X)Y\}$, $g(\mathcal{S}X, Y) = S(X, Y)$, $\kappa = \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{S}$ and

$$\mathcal{C}(X, Y)Z = \mathcal{R}(X, Y)Z - \frac{1}{n-2}\Big(X \wedge_g \mathcal{S}Y + \mathcal{S}X \wedge_g Y - \frac{\kappa}{n-1} X \wedge_g Y\Big)Z,$$

respectively. The $(0,4)$-tensors: G, R and C are defined by $G(X_1, \ldots, X_4) = g((X_1 \wedge_g X_2)X_3, X_4)$, $R(X_1, \ldots, X_4) = g(\mathcal{R}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4)$, $C(X_1, \ldots, X_4) = g(\mathcal{C}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4)$, respectively, where $X_1, X_2, \ldots \in \Xi(M)$. Further, we set $U_R = \{x \in M \mid R - (\kappa/((n-1)n))G \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$, $U_S = \{x \in M \mid S - (\kappa/n)g \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$ and $U_C = \{x \in M \mid C \neq 0 \text{ at } x\}$. We note that $U_S \cup U_C = U_R$.

Let $\mathcal{B}(X_1, X_2)$ be a skew-symmetric endomorphism of $\Xi(M)$ and B a $(0,4)$-tensor associated with $\mathcal{B}(X_1, X_2)$ by

$$B(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = g(\mathcal{B}(X_1, X_2)X_3, X_4). \tag{2.1}$$

The tensor B is said to be a generalized curvature tensor if the following two conditions are fulfilled: $B(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) = B(X_3, X_4, X_1, X_2)$ and

$$B(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) + B(X_3, X_1, X_2, X_4) + B(X_2, X_3, X_1, X_4) = 0.$$

For the symmetric $(0,2)$-tensors E and F we define their Kulkarni–Nomizu product $E \wedge F$ (see, e.g., [**25**])

$$\begin{aligned}(E \wedge F)(X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4) &= E(X_1, X_4)F(X_2, X_3) + E(X_2, X_3)F(X_1, X_4)\\ &\quad - E(X_1, X_3)F(X_2, X_4) - E(X_2, X_4)F(X_1, X_3).\end{aligned}$$

The following tensors are generalized curvature tensors: R, C and $E \wedge F$, where E and F are symmetric $(0,2)$-tensors. We have $G = \frac{1}{2} g \wedge g$ and

$$C = R - \frac{1}{n-2}\, g \wedge S + \frac{\kappa}{(n-2)(n-1)}\, G. \tag{2.2}$$

Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ be an orthonormal basis of T_xM at a point $x \in M$ of a semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g), $n \geqslant 3$, and let $g(e_j, e_k) = \varepsilon_j \delta_{jk}$, $\varepsilon_j = \pm 1$, and $j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$. For a generalized curvature tensor B on M we denote by $\operatorname{Ric}(B)$, $\kappa(B)$ and $\operatorname{Weyl}(B)$ its scalar curvature, the Ricci tensor and the Weyl tensor, respectively. Thus at every $x \in M$ we have: $\operatorname{Ric}(B)(X, Y) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j\, B(e_j, X, Y, e_j)$, $\kappa(B) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \varepsilon_j\, \operatorname{Ric}(B)(e_j, e_j)$ and

$$\operatorname{Weyl}(B) = B - \frac{1}{n-2}\, g \wedge \operatorname{Ric}(B) + \frac{\kappa(B)}{(n-2)(n-1)}\, G. \tag{2.3}$$

Lemma 2.1. [**22**, Lemma 2(ii)]; cf. [**50**, p. 48]; *The Weyl tensor* $\operatorname{Weyl}(B)$ *of any generalized curvature tensor* B *on a 3-dimensional semi-Riemannian manifold* (M, g) *vanishes, i.e., on* M *we have* $B = g \wedge \operatorname{Ric}(B) - (\kappa(B)/2)\, G$.

Let $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ be a skew-symmetric endomorphism of $\Xi(M)$, and let B be the tensor defined by (2.1). We extend the endomorphism $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$ to a derivation $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)\cdot$ of the algebra of tensor fields on M, assuming that it commutes with contractions and $\mathcal{B}(X, Y) \cdot f = 0$ for any smooth function f on M. Now for a $(0,k)$-tensor field T, $k \geqslant 1$, we can define the $(0, k+2)$-tensor $B \cdot T$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(B \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k, X, Y) &= (\mathcal{B}(X, Y) \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k)\\ &= -T(\mathcal{B}(X, Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) - \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, \mathcal{B}(X, Y)X_k).\end{aligned}$$

If A is a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor, then we define the $(0, k+2)$-tensor $Q(A, T)$ by

$$\begin{aligned}Q(A, T)(X_1, \dots, X_k, X, Y) &= (X \wedge_A Y \cdot T)(X_1, \dots, X_k)\\ &= -T((X \wedge_A Y)X_1, X_2, \dots, X_k) - \dots - T(X_1, \dots, X_{k-1}, (X \wedge_A Y)X_k).\end{aligned}$$

In this manner we obtain the $(0,6)$-tensors $B \cdot B$ and $Q(A, B)$. Substituting $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{R}$ or $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{C}$, $T = R$ or $T = C$ or $T = S$, $A = g$ or $A = S$ in the above formulas, we get the tensors $R \cdot R$, $R \cdot C$, $C \cdot R$, $R \cdot S$, $Q(g, R)$, $Q(S, R)$, $Q(g, C)$ and $Q(g, S)$.

Let A be a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor and T a $(0,k)$-tensor, $k \geqslant 2$. Following [**32**], we will call the tensor $Q(A,T)$ the Tachibana tensor of A and T, or the Tachibana tensor for short. We would like to point out that in some papers, the tensor $Q(g,R)$ is called the Tachibana tensor (see, e.g., [**57, 61, 62, 81**]).

Let B_{hijk}, T_{hijk}, A_{ij}, $(B\cdot T)_{hijklm}$ and $Q(A,T)_{hijklm}$, $h,i,\ldots,m \in \{1,\ldots,n\}$, be the local components of the generalized curvature tensors B and T, a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor A and the tensors $B\cdot T$ and $Q(A,T)$, respectively. We have [**32**]

$$
\begin{aligned}
(B\cdot T)_{hijklm} &= g^{rs}(T_{rijk}B_{shlm} + T_{hrjk}B_{silm} \\
&\qquad + T_{hirk}B_{sjlm} + T_{hijr}B_{sklm}),\\
g^{rs}(B\cdot T)_{hrsklm} &= g^{rs}(\mathrm{Ric}(T)_{kr}B_{shlm} + \mathrm{Ric}(T)_{hr}B_{sklm}), \qquad (2.4)\\
Q(A,T)_{hijklm} &= A_{hl}T_{mijk} + A_{il}T_{hmjk} + A_{jl}T_{himk} + A_{kl}T_{hijm}\\
&\qquad - A_{hm}T_{lijk} - A_{im}T_{hljk} - A_{jm}T_{hilk} - A_{km}T_{hijl},\\
g^{rs}Q(A,T)_{hrsklm} &= A^s_l T_{skhm} - A^s_l T_{shmk} - A^s_m T_{skhl} + A^s_m T_{shlk} \qquad (2.5)\\
&\qquad + Q(A, Ric(T))_{hklm}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Let A be a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor on a semi-Riemannian manifold (M,g), $n \geqslant 3$. We define the tensors A^0, A^1, A^p, $p \geqslant 2$, and the endomorphisms (cf., [**82, 83**]) $\mathcal{A}^0$, $\mathcal{A}^1$, $\mathcal{A}^p$, $p \geqslant 2$, by $A^0 = g$, $A^1 = A$, $A^p(X,Y) = A^{p-1}(\mathcal{A}X,Y)$ and $\mathcal{A}^0 = Id$, $\mathcal{A}^1 = \mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{A}^pX = \mathcal{A}^{p-1}(\mathcal{A}X)$, respectively, where $\mathcal{A}$ is the endomorphism related to A by (1.2) and Id the identity transformation of $\Xi(M)$.

Using the above presented definitions we can prove the following

PROPOSITION 2.1. *If A is a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor and B a generalized curvature tensor on a semi-Riemannian manifold (M,g), $n \geqslant 3$, expressed by a linear combination of the tensors $A^{p_1} \wedge A^{p_2}$, $p_1, p_2 \geqslant 0$, then A^p, $p \geqslant 0$, are B-compatible.*

Let H be the second fundamental tensor of a hypersurface M, $\dim M \geqslant 3$, isometrically immersed in a conformally flat semi-Riemannian manifold N. Using Proposition 2.1 and identity (20) of [**47**] (cf. [**37**, Section 4]) we can easily prove that the tensors H^p, $p \geqslant 0$, are Weyl compatible.

Semi-Riemannian manifolds (M,g), $n \geqslant 4$, admitting generalized curvature tensors expressed by a linear combination of the tensors: $A\wedge A$, $g\wedge A$ and $g\wedge g$, where A is a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor on M, were investigated in [**65**]. In particular, [**65**] contains results on non-quasi Einstein and non-conformally flat manifolds having the Riemann–Christoffel curvature tensor expressed by a linear combination of the tensors $S \wedge S$, $g \wedge S$ and $g \wedge g$. Semi-Riemannian manifolds with this property are called Roter type manifolds, see [**27**] and [**53**] and references therein.

EXAMPLE 2.1. We define on $M = \{(x,y,z,t) : x > 0, y > 0, z > 0, t > 0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ the metric tensor g by $ds^2 = \exp(y)\,dx^2 + (x\,z)^2\,dy^2 + dz^2 - dt^2$. The Ricci tensor S of (M,g) is expressed by a linear combination of g and some other symmetric $(0,2)$-tensors [**9**, Section 4]. Since g is a product metric of some 3-dimensional and an 1-dimensional metric, the equality $R\cdot R = Q(S,R)$ is satisfied on M [**11**, Corollary 3.2]. We also have on M: $\kappa = 1/(2\,x^2\,z^2)$, $\mathrm{rank}(S) = \cdots = \mathrm{rank}(S^4) = 3$,

and

$$Q(S, S^2 \wedge S^2) = Q(S^3 - \exp(y)/(2xz^2)S^2, S \wedge S),$$
$$R = \phi_1 S \wedge S + \phi_2 S \wedge S^2 + \phi_3 S^2 \wedge S^2,$$
$$\omega(X)\mathcal{R}(Y,Z) + \omega(Y)\mathcal{R}(Z,X) + \omega(Z)\mathcal{R}(X,Y) = 0,$$
$$\phi_1 = (16x^2z^4 + z^2(4x^2+1)\exp(y))/(8z^2 + 2\exp(y)),$$
$$\phi_2 = -4x^2z^4\exp(y)/(4z^2+\exp(y)), \quad \phi_3 = 8x^4z^6\exp(y)/(4z^2+\exp(y)),$$

where the 1-form ω is defined by $\omega(\partial_x) = \omega(\partial_y) = 1$, $\omega(\partial_z) = \omega(\partial_t) = 0$. Finally, from Proposition 2.1 it follows that the tensors S^p, $p \geqslant 0$, are R-compatible.

3. Some special classes of semi-Riemannian manifolds

A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g), $n \geqslant 2$, is said to be an Einstein manifold if its Ricci tensor S is proportional to g, i.e., on M we have $S = \frac{\kappa}{n} g$, where κ is the scalar curvature. It is well-known that the scalar curvature κ of an Einstein manifold of dimension $\geqslant 3$ is a constant. A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g), $n \geqslant 3$, is called a quasi-Einstein manifold if at every $x \in M$ its Ricci tensor satisfies $\operatorname{rank}(S - \alpha g) \leqslant 1$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, i.e., the condition $S = \alpha g + \varepsilon w \otimes w$, for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, $\varepsilon = \pm 1$, $w \in T_x^*M$ holds at every $x \in U_S \subset M$ (see, e.g., [**39, 43, 54**]). Evidently, w is non-zero at every point of U_S. It is well-known that quasi-Einstein manifolds arose during the study of exact solutions of the Einstein field equations as well as during considerations of quasi-umbilical hypersurfaces of conformally flat spaces. We refer to [**24, 27, 28, 37, 41, 42, 43, 54**] for results on quasi-Einstein hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature. Recently, quasi-Einstein manifolds were investigated amongst others in [**49, 63, 64, 68**].

An extension of the class of Einstein manifolds form Ricci-symmetric manifolds, i.e., manifolds of dimension $\geqslant 3$ with $\nabla S = 0$. An important subclass of the class of Ricci-symmetric manifolds form locally symmetric manifolds, i.e., manifolds with $\nabla R = 0$. The last two equations lead to the integrability conditions

$$\text{(a)}\ \ R \cdot S = 0, \qquad \text{(b)}\ \ R \cdot R = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

respectively. Semi-Riemannian manifolds satisfying (3.1)(a) and (3.1)(b) are called Ricci-semisymmetric and semisymmetric [**84**], respectively. Any semisymmetric manifold is Ricci-semisymmetric. It is known that the converse statement is not true. Semisymmetric Riemannian manifolds were classified in [**84**]. Ricci-semisymmetric Riemannian manifolds were investigated, amongst others, in [**79**], see also [**69, 80**]. In those papers Ricci-semisymmetric manifolds (submanifolds) are called Ric-semisymmetric manifolds (submanifolds).

We consider now non-Riemannian semi-Riemannian manifolds (M, g), $n \geqslant 4$, with parallel Weyl tensor ($\nabla C = 0$), which are in addition non-locally symmetric ($\nabla R \neq 0$) and non-conformally flat ($C \neq 0$). Such manifolds are called essentially conformally symmetric manifolds, e.c.s. manifolds, in short (see e.g., [**15, 16**]). E.c.s. manifolds are semisymmetric manifolds satisfying $\kappa = 0$ and $Q(S, C) = 0$ [**15**, Theorems 7, 8 and 9]. In addition, on every e.c.s. manifold (M, g) we have [**16**]

rank $S \leqslant 2$ and $F\,C = \frac{1}{2}S \wedge S$, where F is a function on M, called the fundamental function. Also the local structure of e.c.s. manifolds is determined [**17, 19**]. Certain e.c.s. metrics are realized on compact manifolds [**18, 20**]. E.c.s. warped products were investigated in [**59**].

A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g), $n \geqslant 3$, is said to be pseudosymmetric [**33**] if the tensors $R \cdot R$ and $Q(g, R)$ are linearly dependent at every point of M. This is equivalent on $U_R \subset M$ to

$$R \cdot R = L_R Q(g, R), \tag{3.2}$$

where L_R is a function on this set. A pseudosymmetric manifold is called a pseudosymmetric space of constant type if the function L_R is constant [**4, 66**]. We mention that [**33**] is the first publication, in which a semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying (3.2) was called the pseudosymmetric manifold. However results on manifolds satisfying (3.2) also are contained in some papers published earlier than [**33**] (see, e.g., [**1, 55, 78**]). For instance, in [**55**, proof of Lemma 3] it was stated that fibres of semisymmetric warped products satisfy (3.2). We note that (3.2) is equivalent to $(R - L_R G) \cdot (R - L_R G) = 0$. Such expression of (3.2) was used in [**78**]. Evidently, any semisymmetric manifold is pseudosymmetric. The converse statement is not true. For instance, the Schwarzschild spacetime, the Kottler spacetime and the Reissner-Nordström spacetime satisfy (3.2) with non-zero function L_R [**48**] (see also [**34, 56**]). It is well-known that the Schwarzschild spacetime was discovered in 1916 by Schwarzschild, during his study on solutions of Einstein's equations. It seems that the Schwarzschild spacetime, the Reissner–Nordström spacetime, as well as some Friedmann–Lemaître–Robertson–Walker spacetimes are the "oldest" examples of a non-semisymmetric pseudosymmetric warped product manifolds (cf. [**35**]). We also mention that Roter type manifolds are non-quasi-Einstein and non-conformally flat pseudosymmetric (see, e.g., [**27, 53**]).

A semi-Riemannian manifold (M, g), $n \geqslant 3$, is said to be Ricci-pseudosymmetric [**21, 36**] if the tensors $R \cdot S$ and $Q(g, S)$ are linearly dependent at every point of M. This is equivalent on $U_S \subset M$ to

$$R \cdot S = L_S Q(g, S), \tag{3.3}$$

where L_S is some function on this set. A Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifold is called a Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifold of constant type if the function L_S is constant [**52**]. We note that (3.2) implies (3.3). The converse statement is not true, provided that $n \geqslant 4$, (see, e.g., [**27, 32**]). However, 3.2 and 3.3 are equivalent on every 3-dimensional manifold. Ricci-pseudosymmetric warped product manifolds were investigated, amongst others, in [**7, 21, 36, 46**]. An example of quasi-Einstein pseudosymmetric, resp. non-pseudosymmetric Ricci-pseudosymmetric, warped product manifold are given in [**37**], respectively [**43**]. Recently in [**60**] Ricci-semisymmetric and Ricci-pseudosymmetric Riemannian manifolds were called Riemannian manifolds having semi-parallel Ricci operator $\mathcal{S}$, $R(X, Y) \cdot \mathcal{S} = 0$, and pseudo-parallel Ricci operator $\mathcal{S}$, $R(X, Y) \cdot \mathcal{S} = L\,(X \wedge Y) \cdot \mathcal{S}$, respectively, where L is a function on M and $X, Y \in \Xi(M)$. Evidently, the last two conditions are equivalent to (3.1)(a) and (3.3), respectively.

We refer to [**23, 28, 35, 57, 58, 61, 62**] for further results related to those classes of manifolds. We mention only that a geometrical interpretation of (3.2) and (3.3), in the Riemannian case, is given in [**57**] and [**62**], respectively.

4. Riemann compatible tensors

LEMMA 4.1. *Let A be a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor and B, T and T_1 generalized curvature tensors on a semi-Riemannian manifold (M,g), $n \geqslant 4$, satisfying on M the condition $B \cdot T = Q(A,T) + L\,Q(g,T_1)$, where L is a function. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}(4.1)\quad & B(\mathcal{T}X,Y,Z,W) + B(\mathcal{T}Z,Y,W,X) + B(\mathcal{T}W,Y,X,Z) \\ & \quad + 3(T(\mathcal{A}X,Y,Z,W) + T(\mathcal{A}Z,Y,W,X) + T(\mathcal{A}W,Y,X,Z)) = 0\end{aligned}$$

holds on M, where $\mathcal{A}$ is defined by (1.2) *and $\mathcal{T}$ by $g(\mathcal{T}X,Y) = Ric(T)(X,Y)$.*

PROOF. From the equation $(B \cdot T)_{hijklm} = Q(A,T)_{hijklm} + LQ(g,T_1)_{hijklm}$, by contraction with g^{ij} and making use of (2.4) and (2.5), we get

$$\begin{aligned}(4.2)\quad & \mathcal{T}^s_h B_{sklm} + \mathcal{T}^s_k B_{shlm} = Q(A,\mathrm{Ric}(T))_{hklm} + L\,Q(g,\mathrm{Ric}(T_1))_{hklm} \\ & \quad - \mathcal{A}^s_l R_{skmh} - \mathcal{A}^s_m R_{skhl} - \mathcal{A}^s_l R_{shmk} - \mathcal{A}^s_m R_{shkl},\end{aligned}$$

Summing (4.2) cyclically in h,l,m we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}& \mathcal{T}^s_h B_{sklm} + \mathcal{T}^s_l B_{skmh} + \mathcal{T}^s_m B_{skhl} + 2(\mathcal{A}^s_h T_{sklm} + \mathcal{A}^s_l T_{skmh} + \mathcal{A}^s_m T_{skhl}) \\ & \quad = \mathcal{A}^s_h(T_{smkl} + T_{slmk}) + \mathcal{A}^s_l(T_{shkm} + T_{smhk}) + \mathcal{A}^s_m(T_{slkh} + T_{shlk}), \\ & \mathcal{T}^s_h B_{sklm} + \mathcal{T}^s_l B_{skmh} + \mathcal{T}^s_m B_{skhl} + 3(\mathcal{A}^s_h T_{sklm} + \mathcal{A}^s_l T_{skmh} + \mathcal{A}^s_m T_{skhl}) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

completing the proof. □

Similarly, we also can prove the following

LEMMA 4.2. *If A, A_1 and A_2 are symmetric $(0,2)$-tensors and B a generalized curvature tensor on a semi-Riemannian manifold (M,g), $n \geqslant 4$, satisfying on M the condition $B \cdot A = Q(A_1,A_2)$, then* (1.1) *holds on M.*

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.1 we have

LEMMA 4.3. *If A is a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor and T a generalized curvature tensor on a semi-Riemannian manifold (M,g), $n = 3$, then we have on M*

$$\begin{aligned}(4.3)\quad & T(\mathcal{A}X,Y,Z,W) + T(\mathcal{A}Z,Y,W,X) + T(\mathcal{A}W,Y,X,Z) \\ & \quad = g(X,Y)D(W,Z) + g(Z,Y)D(X,W) + g(W,Y)D(Z,X), \\ & \quad T(\mathcal{T}X,Y,Z,W) + T(\mathcal{T}Z,Y,W,X) + T(\mathcal{T}W,Y,X,Z) = 0,\end{aligned}$$

where $D(X,Y) = \mathrm{Ric}(T)(\mathcal{A}X,Y) - \mathrm{Ric}(T)(\mathcal{A}Y,X)$, $\mathcal{T}$ is defined in Lemma 4.1 *and $\mathcal{A}$ by* (1.2).

From the above lemmas it follows

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let (M,g), $n \geqslant 4$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold.*

(i) *If B and T are generalized curvature tensors on M satisfying on M*

$$B \cdot T = Q(\mathrm{Ric}(T), T) + LQ(g, \mathrm{Weyl}(T)), \tag{4.4}$$

where L is a function, then

$$\begin{aligned} B(\mathcal{T}X,Y,Z,W) + B(\mathcal{T}Z,Y,W,X) + B(\mathcal{T}W,Y,X,Z) \\ + 3(T(\mathcal{T}X,Y,Z,W) + T(\mathcal{T}Z,Y,W,X) + T(\mathcal{T}W,Y,X,Z)) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

holds on M, where $\mathcal{T}$ is defined in Lemma 4.1.

(ii) [**32**, Proposition 2.1] *If the following condition is satisfied on M*

$$R \cdot R = Q(S,R) + LQ(g,C), \tag{4.6}$$

where L is a function, then (1.5) *holds on M.*

(iii) [**10**, Lemma 2.2(i)] *If the following condition is satisfied on M*

$$R \cdot R = LQ(S,R), \tag{4.7}$$

where L is a function, then we have on M

$$(1+3L)(R(\mathcal{S}X,Y,Z,W) + R(\mathcal{S}Z,Y,W,X) + R(\mathcal{S}W,Y,X,Z)) = 0.$$

(iv) [**32**, Remark 2.1] (1.5) *is satisfied on any 3-dimensional manifold (M,g).*

As it was shown in [**77**, Theorems 2.2 and 2.5], some curvature 2-forms on a Riemannian manifold (M,g) are closed if and only if (1.5) holds on M. For further results related to the questions related to the closedness of some forms and (1.5) see [**71**, Theorem 4.2], [**75**, Theorem 6.2] or [**76**, Theorem 3.4]. We mention that the result presented in Proposition 4.1(iii), i.e., Lemma 2.2(i) of [**10**], was also proved in [**71**, Theorem 4.17]. However, Lemma 2.2(i) of [**10**] is not cited in [**71**]. Similarly, the result presented in Proposition 4.1(iv), i.e., Remark 2.1 of [**32**], was also proved in [**73**,] (see Section 5.1). Unfortunately, [**32**] is not cited in [**73**].

Let (M,g), $n \geqslant 3$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold satisfying the condition

$$R \cdot R = LQ(S^p, R), \quad p \geqslant 0, \tag{4.8}$$

where L is a function on M. From Lemma 4.1 it follows that (4.8) implies (1.3), with $A = S + LS^p$. We mention that special para-Sasakian Riemannian manifolds satisfying (4.8) were investigated in [**82, 83**]. For instance, in [**82**] it was proved that such manifolds, under some additional assumptions, are the spaces of quasi constant curvature. Thus, in particular, they are quasi-Einstein manifolds.

Let M be a hypersurface isometrically immersed in a a semi-Riemannian space of constant curvature $N_s^{n+1}(c)$, with signature $(s, n+1-s)$, $n \geqslant 4$, where $c = \widetilde{\kappa}/(n(n+1))$ and $\widetilde{\kappa}$ are the sectional and the scalar curvature of the ambient space, respectively. It is known that $R \cdot R = Q(S,R) - ((n-2)\widetilde{\kappa})/(n(n+1))Q(g,C)$ holds on M [**47**]. Now Proposition 4.1(ii) implies (cf. [**41**, eq. (43)])

THEOREM 4.1. (1.5) *holds on every hypersurface M in $N_s^{n+1}(c)$, $n \geqslant 4$.*

Chen ideal submanifolds M isometrically immersed in Euclidean spaces [**5, 6**], satisfying some conditions of pseudosymmetry type, were investigated in [**31, 44, 45**]. Using equations (26.1)–(26.4) of [**31**] we can easily prove the following

THEOREM 4.2. (1.5) *holds on every Chen ideal submanifold M, of dimension $\geqslant 4$, isometrically immersed in a Euclidean space.*

For a $(0,6)$-tensor T on M we denote by

$$\sum_{(X_1,X_2),(X_3,X_4),(X_5,X_6)} T(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4,X_5,X_6)$$

the sum $T(X_1,X_2,\dots,X_6)+T(X_3,X_4,\dots,X_6,X_1,X_2)+T(X_5,X_6,X_1,\dots,X_4)$, where $X_1,\dots,X_4,X,Y\in\Xi(M)$. It is well-known that on every semi-Riemannian manifold (M,g) the following identity, called the Walker identity, is satisfied

$$\sum_{(X_1,X_2),(X_3,X_4),(X,Y)} (R\cdot R)(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4,X,Y)=0. \tag{4.9}$$

We can also investigate semi-Riemannian manifolds, of dimension $\geqslant 4$, satisfying:

$$\sum_{(X_1,X_2),(X_3,X_4),(X,Y)} (R\cdot C)(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4,X,Y)=0, \tag{4.10}$$

$$\sum_{(X_1,X_2),(X_3,X_4),(X,Y)} (C\cdot R)(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4,X,Y)=0, \tag{4.11}$$

$$\sum_{(X_1,X_2),(X_3,X_4),(X,Y)} (R\cdot C-C\cdot R)(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4,X,Y)=0. \tag{4.12}$$

We mention that hypersurfaces in spaces of constant curvature satisfying (4.10)–(4.12) were investigated in [**29**], [**43**] and [**51**]. We also have

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let (M,g), $n\geqslant 4$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold.*
(i) [**14**, Lemma 1] *For a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor A and a generalized curvature tensor B on M we have $\sum_{(X_1,X_2),(X_3,X_4),(X,Y)} Q(A,B)(X_1,X_2,X_3,X_4,X,Y)=0$.*
(ii) [**29**, Proposition 4.1] *The conditions* (4.10)–(4.12) *are equivalent.*

It is easy to check that every pseudosymmetric manifold satisfies (4.10). More generally, in [**7**, Theorem 2.3] it was proved that (4.10) holds on any Ricci-pseudosymmetric manifold. In that paper it was proved that $R\cdot S=-(1/n)Q(g,A)$, holds on any semi-Riemannian manifold, of dimension $\geqslant 5$, satisfying (4.10), where A is the $(0,2)$-tensor with the local components $A_{ij}=g^{hk}(R\cdot S)_{hijk}$. Thus we have

THEOREM 4.3. (1.5) *holds on every manifold (M,g), $n\geqslant 5$, satisfying* (4.10).

In the next section we also prove that (1.5) holds on any 4-dimensional warped product satisfying (4.10). In addition, Proposition 4.2 and Theorem 4.3 imply

THEOREM 4.4. *Let (M,g), $n\geqslant 5$, be a semi-Riemannian manifold. If the tensor $R\cdot C$, or $C\cdot R$, or $R\cdot C-C\cdot R$, is expressed on M by a linear combination of the Tachibana tensors of the form $Q(A,B)$, where A is a symmetric $(0,2)$-tensor and B a generalized curvature tensor, then* (1.5) *holds on M.*

5. Warped products with Riemann compatible Ricci tensor

Let now $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$ and $(\widetilde{N},\widetilde{g})$, $\dim\overline{M}=p$, $\dim N=n-p$, $1\leqslant p<n$, be semi-Riemannian manifolds covered by systems of charts $\{U;x^a\}$ and $\{V;y^\alpha\}$, respectively. Let F be a positive smooth function on $\overline{M}$. The warped product $\overline{M}\times_F\widetilde{N}$ of $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$ and $(\widetilde{N},\widetilde{g})$ is the product manifold $\overline{M}\times\widetilde{N}$ with the metric $g=\overline{g}\times_F\widetilde{g}$ defined by ([**3**], [**67**]) $\overline{g}\times_F\widetilde{g}=\pi_1^*\overline{g}+(F\circ\pi_1)\,\pi_2^*\widetilde{g}$, where $\pi_1:\overline{M}\times\widetilde{N}\longrightarrow\overline{M}$ and $\pi_2:\overline{M}\times\widetilde{N}\longrightarrow\widetilde{N}$ are the natural projections on $\overline{M}$ and $\widetilde{N}$, respectively. Let $\{U\times V;x^1,\dots,x^p,x^{p+1}=y^1,\dots,x^n=y^{n-p}\}$ be a product chart for $\overline{M}\times\widetilde{N}$. The local components g_{ij} of the metric $g=\overline{g}\times_F\widetilde{g}$ with respect to this chart are the following $g_{ij}=\overline{g}_{ab}$ if $i=a$ and $j=b$, $g_{ij}=F\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}$ if $i=\alpha$ and $j=\beta$, and $g_{ij}=0$ otherwise, where $a,b,c,d,e,f\in\{1,\dots,p\}$, $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta,\varepsilon,\mu\in\{p+1,\dots,n\}$ and $h,i,j,k,l,m,r,s\in\{1,2,\dots,n\}$. We will denote by bars (resp., by tildes) tensors formed from $\overline{g}$ (resp., $\widetilde{g}$).

The local components of the Riemann–Christoffel curvature tensor R and the local components S_{ij} of the Ricci tensor S of the warped product $\overline{M}\times_F\widetilde{N}$ which may not vanish identically are the following (see, e.g., [**22, 25, 48**])

$$R_{abcd}=\overline{R}_{abcd},\quad R_{\alpha ab\beta}=-\frac{1}{2}\,T_{ab}\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta},\quad R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}=F\widetilde{R}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\beta}-\frac{\Delta_1F}{4}\,\widetilde{G}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta},\tag{5.1}$$

$$S_{ab}=\overline{S}_{ab}-\frac{n-p}{2F}\,T_{ab},\quad S_{\alpha\beta}=\widetilde{S}_{\alpha\beta}-\Big(\frac{1}{2}\,\overline{g}^{ab}T_{ab}+\frac{n-p-1}{4F}\Delta_1F\Big)\widetilde{g}_{\alpha\beta},\tag{5.2}$$

where $\Delta_1F=\Delta_{1\overline{g}}F=\overline{g}^{ab}F_aF_b$, $T_{ab}=\overline{\nabla}_bF_a-\frac{1}{2F}F_aF_b$ and $F_a=(\partial F)/(\partial x^a)$. Further, let $\mathrm{Hess}(\sqrt{F})$ be the Hessian of $\sqrt{F}$. We have $(\mathrm{Hess}(\sqrt{F}))_{ab}=1/(2\sqrt{F})\,T_{ab}$. Using now (5.1) and (5.2) we can easily prove the following

Proposition 5.1. *The manifold $\overline{M}\times_F\widetilde{N}$ satisfies (1.5) if and only if*

$$\begin{aligned}&\overline{g}^{ef}(\overline{S}_{ae}\overline{R}_{fbcd}+\overline{S}_{ce}\overline{R}_{fbda}+\overline{S}_{de}\overline{R}_{fbac})\\&\quad-\frac{n-p}{2F}\,\overline{g}^{ef}(\overline{T}_{ae}\overline{R}_{fbcd}+\overline{T}_{ce}\overline{R}_{fbda}+\overline{T}_{de}\overline{R}_{fbac})=0,\end{aligned}\tag{5.3}$$

$$\overline{g}^{ef}(\overline{S}_{de}(\mathrm{Hess}(\sqrt{F}))_{fa}-\overline{S}_{ae}(\mathrm{Hess}(\sqrt{F}))_{fd})=0,\tag{5.4}$$

$$\widetilde{g}^{\varepsilon\mu}(\widetilde{S}_{\alpha\varepsilon}\widetilde{R}_{\mu\beta\gamma\delta}+\widetilde{S}_{\gamma\varepsilon}\widetilde{R}_{\mu\beta\delta\alpha}+\widetilde{S}_{\delta\varepsilon}\widetilde{R}_{\mu\beta\alpha\gamma})=0.\tag{5.5}$$

As an immediate consequence of propositions 4.1 (iv) and 5.1 we get

Theorem 5.1. (i) *The manifold $\overline{M}\times_F\widetilde{N}$, $\dim\overline{M}=p\leqslant2$, $\dim\widetilde{N}=n-p\leqslant3$, satisfies* (1.5).

(ii) *If $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$ is an p-dimensional manifold, $p\leqslant2$, and $(\widetilde{N},\widetilde{g})$ a manifold satisfying* (5.5)*, then* (1.5) *holds on $\overline{M}\times_F\widetilde{N}$.*

(iii) *If $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$, $\dim\overline{M}=3$, and $(\widetilde{N},\widetilde{g})$ are manifolds satisfying* (5.4) *and* (5.5)*, respectively, then* (1.5) *holds on $\overline{M}\times_F\widetilde{N}$.*

(iv) *If $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$, $\dim\overline{M}=p\geqslant3$, is a space of constant curvature and $(\widetilde{N},\widetilde{g})$ a manifold satisfying* (5.5)*, then* (1.5) *holds on $\overline{M}\times_F\widetilde{N}$.*

REMARK 5.1. (i) From Theorem 5.1(i) it follows that any 4-dimensional warped product, with 1-dimensional base manifold $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$, satisfies (1.5). In addition such warped product also satisfies (4.6) [**13**, Theorem 4.1]. Thus in particular, every generalized Robertson-Walker spacetime satisfies (1.5) and (4.6).

(ii) From Theorem 5.1(ii) it follows that if $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$ is an 2-dimensional manifold and $(\widetilde{N},\widetilde{g})$ an $(n-p)$-dimensional semi-Riemannian space of constant curvature, $n-p \geqslant 2$, then (1.5) holds on $\overline{M}\times_F \widetilde{N}$. Such warped product is a manifold with pseudosymmetric Weyl tensor [**30**], i.e., the condition $C\cdot C = L_C Q(g,C)$ is satisfied, where L_C is a function.

(iii) From Proposition 5.1 it follows that if $(\overline{M},\overline{g})$, $\dim\overline{M}=p\geqslant 2$, and $(\widetilde{N},\widetilde{g})$, $\dim\widetilde{N}=n-p\geqslant 2$, are Einstein manifolds and $\mathrm{Hess}(\sqrt{F})$ is proportional to $\overline{g}$, then (1.5) holds on $\overline{M}\times_F\widetilde{N}$.

(iv) In the previous section we proved that (1.5) holds on any manifold, of dimension $\geqslant 5$, satisfying (4.10). The condition (1.5) also holds on any 4-dimensional warped product satisfying (4.10). This is a consequence of Theorem 5.1 (i) and (iii) and the fact that (5.4) holds on any warped product satisfying (4.10) [**7**].

EXAMPLE 5.1. We define on $M=\{(x,y,w,z): x>0, y>0, w>0, z>0\}\subset\mathbb{R}^4$ the family of warped product metrics by

$$ds^2 = x^{\alpha_1}y^{\beta_1}w^{\gamma_1}dx^2 + x^{\alpha_2}y^{\beta_2}w^{\gamma_2}dy^2 + x^{\alpha_3}y^{\beta_3}w^{\gamma_3}dw^2 + x^{\alpha_4}y^{\beta_4}w^{\gamma_4}dz^2,$$

where $\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\ldots,\gamma_4\in\mathbb{R}$. Certain metrics of that family do not satisfy (1.5).

References

1. A. Adamów, R. Deszcz, *On totally umbilical submanifolds of some class of Riemannian manifolds*, Demonstratio Math. **16** (1983), 39–59.
2. K. Arslan, Y. Çelik, R. Deszcz, R. Ezentaş, *On the equivalence of the Ricci-semisymmetry and semisymmetry*, Colloq. Math. **76** (1998), 279–294.
3. R. L. Bishop, B. O'Neill, *Manifolds of negative curvature*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **145** (1969), 1–49.
4. E. Boeckx, O. Kowalski, L. Vanhecke, *Riemannian Manifolds of Conullity Two*, World Scientific, 1996.
5. B.-Y. Chen, *Some pinching and classification theorems for minimal submanifolds*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **60** (1993), 568–578.
6. ———, *Pseudo-Riemannian Geometry, δ-Invariants and Applications*, World Scientific, Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
7. J. Chojnacka-Dulas, R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Prvanović, *On warped products manifolds satisfying some curvature conditions*, J. Geom. Phys. **74** (2013), 328–341.
8. M. Dabrowska, F. Defever, R. Deszcz, D. Kowalczyk, *Semisymmetry and Ricci-semisymmetry for hypersurfaces of semi-Euclidean spaces*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **67** (**81**) (2000), 103–111.
9. P. Debnath, A. Konar, *On super quasi-Einstein manifold*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **89** (**103**) (2011), 95–104.
10. F. Defever, R. Deszcz, *On semi-Riemannian manifolds satisfying the condition $R\cdot R = Q(S,R)$*; in: *Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, III*, World Sci., River Edge, NJ, 1991, 108–130.
11. ———, *On warped product manifolds satisfying a certain curvature condition*, Atti Accad. Peloritana Pericolanti, Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Nat. **69** (1991), 213–236.

12. F. Defever, R. Deszcz, D. Kowalczyk, L. Verstraelen, *Semisymmetry and Ricci-semisymmetry for hypersurfaces of semi-Riemannian space forms*, Arab J. Math. Sci. **6** (2000), 1–16.
13. F. Defever, R. Deszcz, M. Prvanović, *On warped product manifolds satisfying some curvature condition of pseudosymmetry type*, Bull. Greek Math. Soc. **36** (1994), 43–67.
14. J. Deprez, R. Deszcz, L. Verstraelen, *Examples of pseudo-symmetric conformally flat warped products*, Chinese J. Math. **17** (1989), 51–65.
15. A. Derdziński, W. Roter, *Some theorems on conformally symmetric manifolds*, Tensor (N.S.) **32** (1978), 11–23.
16. ———, *Some properties of conformally symmetric manifolds which are not Ricci-recurrent*, Tensor (N.S.) **34** (1980), 11–20.
17. A. Derdzinski, W. Roter, *Projectively flat surfaces, null parallel distributions, and conformally symmetric manifolds*, Tohoku Math. J. **59** (2007), 565–602.
18. ———, *On compact manifolds admitting indefinite metrics with parallel Weyl tensor*, J. Geom. Phys. **58** (2008), 1137–1147.
19. ———, *The local structure of conformally symmetric manifolds*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **16** (2009), 117–128.
20. ———, *Compact pseudo-Riemannian manifolds with parallel Weyl tensor*, Ann. Glob. Anal. Geom. **37** (2010), 73–90.
21. R. Deszcz, *On Ricci-pseudosymmetric warped products*, Demonstratio Math. **22** (1989), 1053–1065.
22. ———, *On four-dimensional warped product manifolds satisfying certain pseudosymmetry curvature conditions*, Colloq. Math. **62** (1991), 103–120.
23. ———, *On pseudosymmetric spaces*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc., Ser. A **44** (1992), 1–34.
24. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, *Examples of nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric hypersurfaces*, Colloq. Math. **94** (2002), 87–101.
25. ———, *Some nonsemisymmetric Ricci-semisymmetric warped product hypersurfaces*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **72**(**86**) (2002), 81–93.
26. ———, *On quasi-Einstein manifolds*, XVII Geometrical Seminar, September, 3–8, 2012, Zlatibor, Serbia, http://poincare.matf.bg.ac.rs/ geometricalseminar/index, 41 pp.
27. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Hotloś, K. Sawicz, *A Survey on Generalized Einstein Metric Conditions*, in: M. Plaue, A. D. Rendall, M. Scherfner (eds.), *Advances in Lorentzian Geometry: Proc. Lorentzian Geometry Conf. Berlin*, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **49**, 2011, 27–46.
28. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Hotloś, Z. Şentürk, *On certain quasi-Einstein semisymmetric hypersurfaces*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budap. Rolando Eötvös, Sect. Math. **41** (1998), 151–164.
29. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Hotloś, L. Verstraelen, *On some generalized Einstein metric conditions on hypersurfaces in semi-Riemannian space forms*, Colloq. Math. **96** (2003), 149–166.
30. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, J. Jełowicki, G. Zafindratafa, *On some warped product manifolds with pseudo-symmetric Weyl tensor*, to appear.
31. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Petrović-Torgašev, L. Verstraelen, *On the Roter type of Chen ideal submanifolds*, Results Math. **59** (2011), 401–413.
32. R. Deszcz, M. Głogowska, M. Plaue, K. Sawicz, M. Scherfner, *On hypersurfaces in space forms satisfying particular curvature conditions of Tachibana type*, Kragujevac J. Math. **35** (2011), 223–247.
33. R. Deszcz, W. Grycak, *On some class of warped product manifolds*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **15** (1987), 311–322.
34. R. Deszcz, S. Haesen, L. Verstraelen, *Classification of space-times satisfying some pseudo-symmetry type conditions*, Soochow J. Math. **23** (2004), 339–349. Special issue in honor of Professor Bang-Yen Chen.
35. ———, *On natural symmetries*, in: *Topics in Differential Geometry*, Eds. A. Mihai, I. Mihai and R. Miron, Editura Academiei Române, 2008.

36. R. Deszcz, M. Hotloś, *Remarks on Riemannian manifolds satisfying certain curvature condition imposed on the Ricci tensor*, Prace Nauk. Pol. Szczec. **11** (1989), 23–34.
37. ———, *On hypersurfaces with type number two in spaces of constant curvature*, Annales Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös Sect. Math. **46** (2003), 19–34.
38. ———, *On a certain extension of the class of semisymmetric manifolds*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **63**(**77**) (1998), 115–130.
39. ———, *On some pseudosymmetry type curvature condition*, Tsukuba J. Math. **27** (2003), 13–30.
40. R. Deszcz, M. Hotloś, Z. Şentürk, *On the equivalence of the Ricci-pseudosymmetry and pseudosymmetry*, Colloq. Math. **79** (1999), 211–227.
41. ———, *Quasi-Einstein hypersurfaces in semi-Riemannian space forms*, Colloq. Math. **89** (2001), 81–97.
42. ———, *On curvature properties of quasi-Einstein hypersurfaces in semi-Euclidean spaces*, Soochow J. Math. **27** (2001), 375–389.
43. ———, *On curvature properties of certain quasi-Einstein hypersurfaces*, Int. J. Math. **23** (2012), 1250073 (17 pages).
44. R. Deszcz, M. Petrović-Torgašev, L. Verstraelen, G. Zafindratafa, *On the intrinsic symmetries of Chen ideal submanifolds*, Bull. Transilvania Univ. Braşov **1**(**50**), III, (2008), 99–109.
45. ———, *On Chen ideal submanifolds satisfying some conditions of pseudo-symmetry type*, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. (2), in print.
46. R. Deszcz, P. Verheyen, L. Verstraelen, *On some generalized Einstein metric conditions*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **60** (**74**) (1996), 108–120.
47. R. Deszcz, L. Verstraelen, *Hypersurfaces of semi-Riemannian conformally flat manifolds*, in: *Geometry and Topology of Submanifolds, III*, World Sci., River Edge, NJ, 1991, 131–147.
48. R. Deszcz, L. Verstraelen, L. Vrancken, *The symmetry of warped product space-times*, Gen. Rel. Gravitation **23**, 1991, 671–681.
49. D. Dumitru, *On quasi-Einstein warped products*, Jordan J. Math. Stat. **5**, 2012, 85–95.
50. L. P. Eisenhart, *Riemannian Geometry*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton 1966.
51. M. Głogowska, *On a curvature characterization of Ricci-pseudosymmetric hypersurfaces*, Acta Math. Scientia **24B** (2004), 361–375.
52. ———, *Curvature conditions on hypersurfaces with two distinct principal curvatures*, in: *Banach Center Publications* **69**, Inst. Math. Polish Acad. Sci., (2005), 133–143.
53. ———, *On Roter-type identities*, in: *Pure and Applied Differential Geometry-PADGE 2007, Berichte aus der Mathematik*, Shaker Verlag, Aachen, 2007, 114–122.
54. ———, *On quasi-Einstein Cartan type hypersurfaces*, J. Geom. Phys. **58** (2008), 599–614.
55. W. Grycak, *On semi-decomposable 2-recurrent Riemannian spaces*, Sci. Papers Inst. Math. Wrocław Techn. Univ. **16** (1976), 15–25.
56. S. Haesen, L. Verstraelen, *Classification of the pseudosymmetric space-times*, J. Math. Phys. **45** (2004), 2343–2346.
57. ———, *Properties of a scalar curvature invariant depending on two planes*, Manuscripta Math. **122** (2007), 59–72.
58. ———, *Natural intrinsic geometrical symmetries*, Symmetry, Integrability and Geometry: Methods and Applications (SIGMA) **5** (2009), 086, 14 pages.
59. M. Hotloś, *On conformally symmetric warped products*, Ann. Acad. Paedagog. Cracoviensis **23** (2004), 75–85.
60. J. Inoguchi, *Real hypersurfaces in complex space forms with pseudo-parallel Ricci operator*, Diff. Geom. Dyn. Systems **14** (2012), 69–89.
61. B. Jahanara, S. Haesen, M. Petrović-Torgašev, L. Verstraelen, *On the Weyl curvature of Deszcz*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **74** (2009), 417–431.
62. B. Jahanara, S. Haesen, Z. Şentürk, L. Verstraelen, *On the parallel transport of the Ricci curvatures*, J. Geom. Phys. **57** (2007), 1771–1777.
63. S. K. Jana, A. A. Shaikh, *On quasi-Einstein spacetime with space-matter tensor*, Lobachevskii J. Math. **33** (2012), 255–261.

64. V. A. Kiosak, *On the conformal mappings of quasi-Einstein spaces*, J. Math. Sciences **184** (2012), 12–18.
65. D. Kowalczyk, *On the Reissner-Nordström-de Sitter type spacetimes*, Tsukuba J. Math. **30** (2006), 363–381.
66. O. Kowalski, M. Sekizawa, *Pseudo-symmetric spaces of constant type in dimension three-elliptic spaces*, Rend. Mat. Appl. **7**(**17**) (1997), 477–512.
67. G. I. Kruchkovich, *On semi-reducible Riemannian spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **115** (1957), 862–865 (in Russian).
68. R. S. Lemence, *On sectional curvature of quasi-Einstein manifolds*, Int. J. Math. Analysis **6** (2012), 1105–1113.
69. Ü. Lumiste, *Semiparallel Submanifolds in Space Forms*, Springer Science + Business Media, New York, LLC 2009.
70. C. A. Mantica, L. G. Molinari, *A second order identity for the Riemann tensor and applications*, Colloq. Math. **122** (2011) 69–82.
71. ———, *Weakly Z-symmetric manifolds*, Acta Math. Hungar. **35** (2012), 80–96.
72. ———, *Extended Derdzinski-Shen theorem for curvature tensors*, Colloq. Math. **128** (2012), 1–6.
73. ———, *Riemann compatible tensors*, Colloq. Math. **128** (2012), 197–210.
74. ———, *Weyl compatible tensors*, arXiv:1212.1273v1 [math-ph] 6 Dec 2012.
75. C. A. Mantica, Y. J. Suh, *Pseudo Z symmetric Riemannian manifolds with harmonic curvature tensors*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Modern Phys. **9** (2012), 1250004, 21 pages.
76. ———, *Recurrent Z forms on Riemannian and Kaehler manifolds*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Modern Phys. **9** (2012), 1250059, 26 pages.
77. ———, *The closedness of some generalized curvature 2-forms on a Riemannian manifold I*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **81** (2012), 313–326.
78. J. Mikesh, *Geodesic mappings of special Riemannian spaces*, in: *Topics in Differential Geometry (Hajduszoboszló, 1984*, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai, **46**, Vol. II, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1988, 793–813.
79. V. A. Mirzoyan, *Structure theorems for Riemannian Ric-semisymmetric spaces*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. **6** (1992), 80–89 (in Russian); English transl.: Russ. Math. **36**(6) (1992), 75–83.
80. V. A. Mirzoyan, G. S. Machkalyan, *Normally flat Ric-semisymmetric submanifolds in Euclidean spaces*, Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat. **9** (2012), 19–31 (in Russian); English transl.: Russ. Math. **56**(9) (2012), 14–24.
81. M. Petrović-Torgašev, L. Verstraelen, *On Deszcz symmetries of Wintgen ideal submanifolds*, Arch. Math. (Brno) **44** (2008), 57–68.
82. M. Prvanović, *On a class of SP-Sasakian manifolds*, Note di Mathematica **10** (1990), 325–334.
83. ———, *On SP-Sasakian manifold satisfying some curvature conditions*, SUT J. Math. **26** (1990), 201–206.
84. Z. I. Szabó, *Structure theorems on Riemannian spaces satisfying* $R(X,Y)\cdot R=0$. *I. The local version*, J. Differential Geom. **17** (1982), 531–582.

Dept. of Math., Wrocław University of Environmental and Life Sci., Wrocław, Poland
Ryszard.Deszcz@up.wroc.pl
Malgorzata.Glogowska@up.wroc.pl
Jan.Jelowicki@up.wroc.pl

Dept. of Math., Faculty of Science, University of Kragujevac, Kragujevac, Serbia
mirapt@kg.ac.rs

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et leurs Applications de Valenciennes
Université de Valenciennes et du Hainaut-Cambrésis, Le Mont Houy, Lamath-ISTV2
59313 Valenciennes cedex 9, France
Georges.Zafindratafa@univ-valenciennes.fr