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# ONE GENERAL METHOD IN OPERATOR THEORY 

## S. S. Kutateladze

An order bounded operator with target a Dedekind complete vector lattice is determined up to an orthomorphism from the kernels of its strata. Some applications to 2 -disjoint operators are briefly discussed.

A linear functional on a vector space is determined up to a scalar from its zero hyperplane. In contrast, a linear operator is recovered from its kernel up to a simple multiplier on a rather special occasion. Fortunately, Boolean valued analysis [1] prompts us that some operator analog of the functional case is valid for each operator with target a Kantorovich space, a Dedekind complete vector lattice. The present expository talk addresses some opportunities that are opened up along the lines of this rather promising approach.

Let $X$ be a Riesz space, and let $Y$ be a Kantorovich (or Dedekind complete Riesz) space $Y$ with base a complete Boolean algebra $B$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $Y$ is a nonzero space embedded as an order dense ideal in the universally complete Kantorovich space $\mathcal{R} \downarrow$ which is the descent of the reals $\mathcal{R}$ inside the separated Boolean valued universe $\mathbb{V}^{(B)}$ over $B$ (cp. [1, Theorem 5.2.4]).

We further let $X^{\wedge}$ stand for the standard name of $X$ in $\mathbb{V}^{(B)}$. Clearly, $X^{\wedge}$ is a Riesz space over $\mathbb{R}^{\wedge}$ inside $\mathbb{V}^{(B)}$. Denote by $l:=T \uparrow$ the ascent of $T$ to $\mathbb{V}^{(B)}$. Clearly, $l$ acts from $X^{\wedge}$ to the ascent $Y \uparrow$ of $Y$ in the sense of the Boolean valued universe $\mathbb{V}^{(B)}$. Therefore,

$$
l\left(x^{\wedge}\right)=T x
$$

inside $\mathbb{V}^{(B)}$ for all $x \in X$, which means in terms of truth values that

$$
\llbracket l: X^{\wedge} \rightarrow \mathcal{R} \rrbracket=\mathbb{1}, \quad(\forall x \in X) \llbracket l\left(x^{\wedge}\right)=T x \rrbracket=\mathbb{1} .
$$

Since $l$ is defined up to a scalar from $\operatorname{ker}(l)$, we infer the following analog of the Sard theorem.

Theorem 1. Let $S$ and $T$ be linear operators from $X$ to $Y$. Then $\operatorname{ker}(b S) \supset \operatorname{ker}(b T)$ for all $b \in B$ if and only if there is an orthomorphism $\alpha$ on $Y$ such that $S=\alpha T$.

We see that a linear operator $T$ is in sense determined up to an orthomorphism from the family of the kernels of the strata $b T$ of $T$. This remark opens a possibility of studying some properties of $T$ in terms of the kernels of the strata of $T$.

Clearly, $T$ is a Riesz homomorphism if and only if so is its ascent $l=T \uparrow$. Since the ascent of the sum is the sum of the ascents of the summands, we reduce the proof of Theorem 2 to the case of the functionals.

[^0]From now on we will consider an order bounded operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$. Straightforward calculations of truth values show that $T_{+} \uparrow=l_{+}$and $T_{-} \uparrow=l_{-}$inside $\mathbb{V}^{(B)}$. Moreover, $\llbracket \operatorname{ker}(l)$ is a Riesz subspace of $X^{\wedge} \rrbracket=\mathbb{1}$ whenever so are $\operatorname{ker}(b T)$ for all $b \in B$. Indeed, given $x, y \in X$, put

$$
b:=\llbracket T x=0^{\wedge} \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket T y=0^{\wedge} \rrbracket .
$$

This means that $x, y \in \operatorname{ker}(b T)$. Hence, we see by condition that $b T(x \vee y)=0$. In other words,

$$
\llbracket T x=0^{\wedge} \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket T y=0^{\wedge} \rrbracket \leqslant \llbracket T(x \vee y)=0^{\wedge} \rrbracket .
$$

Whence

$$
\begin{gathered}
\llbracket \operatorname{ker}(l) \text { is a Riesz subspace of } X^{\wedge} \rrbracket \\
=\llbracket\left(\forall x, y \in X^{\wedge}\right)\left(l(x)=0^{\wedge} \wedge l(y)=0^{\wedge} \rightarrow l(x \vee y)=0^{\wedge}\right) \rrbracket \\
=\bigwedge_{x, y \in X} \llbracket l\left(x^{\wedge}\right)=0^{\wedge} \wedge l\left(y^{\wedge}\right)=0^{\wedge} \rightarrow l\left((x \vee y)^{\wedge}\right)=0^{\wedge} \rrbracket=\mathbb{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Recall that a subspace $H$ of a Riesz space $X$ is a $G$-space or Grothendieck subspace (cp. $[2,3]$ ) provided that $H$ enjoys the following property:

$$
(\forall x, y \in H)(x \vee y \vee 0+x \wedge y \wedge 0 \in H) .
$$

By analogous calculations of truth values we infer that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\llbracket \operatorname{ker}(l) \text { is a Grothendieck subspace of } X^{\wedge} \rrbracket \\
=\llbracket\left(\forall x, y \in X^{\wedge}\right)\left(l(x)=0^{\wedge} \wedge l(y)=0^{\wedge} \rightarrow l(x \vee y \vee 0+x \wedge y \wedge 0)=0^{\wedge}\right) \rrbracket \\
=\bigwedge_{x, y \in X} \llbracket l\left(x^{\wedge}\right)=0^{\wedge} \wedge l\left(y^{\wedge}\right)=0^{\wedge} \rightarrow l\left((x \vee y \vee 0+x \wedge y \wedge 0)^{\wedge}\right)=0^{\wedge} \rrbracket .
\end{gathered}
$$

Assuming that the kernel of each stratum $b T$ is a Grothendieck subspace, take $x, y \in X$ and put

$$
b:=\llbracket T x=0^{\wedge} \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket T y=0^{\wedge} \rrbracket .
$$

This means that $x, y \in \operatorname{ker}(b T)$. By hypothesis $b T(x \vee y \vee 0+x \wedge y \wedge 0)=0$. In other words,

$$
\llbracket T x=0^{\wedge} \rrbracket \wedge \llbracket T y=0^{\wedge} \rrbracket \leqslant \llbracket T(x \vee y \vee 0+x \wedge y \wedge 0)=0^{\wedge} \rrbracket .
$$

It follows now that

$$
\llbracket \operatorname{ker}(l) \text { is a Grothendieck subspace of } X^{\wedge} \rrbracket=\mathbb{1} \text {. }
$$

By way of example, we may now assert that the following theorems appear as the descents of their scalar analogs.

Theorem 2. An order bounded operator $T$ from $X$ to $Y$ may be presented as the difference of some Riesz homomorphisms and only if the kernel of each stratum $b T$ of $T$ is a Riesz subspace of $X$ for all $b \in B$.

Theorem 3. The modulus of an order bounded operator $T: X \rightarrow Y$ is the sum of some pair of Riesz homomorphisms if and only if the kernel of each stratum $b T$ of $T$ with $b \in B$ is a Grothendieck subspace of the ambient Riesz space $X$.

To prove the relevant scalar claims, we use one of the formulas of subdifferential calculus:
Theorem 4 (of decomposition). Assume that $H_{1}, \ldots, H_{N}$ are cones in a Riesz space $X$. Assume further that $f$ and $g$ are positive functionals on $X$. The inequality

$$
f\left(h_{1} \vee \cdots \vee h_{N}\right) \geqslant g\left(h_{1} \vee \cdots \vee h_{N}\right)
$$

holds for all $h_{k} \in H_{k}(k:=1, \ldots, N)$ if and only if to each decomposition of $g$ into a sum of $N$ positive terms $g=g_{1}+\cdots+g_{N}$ there is a decomposition of $f$ into a sum of $N$ positive terms $f=f_{1}+\cdots+f_{N}$ such that

$$
f_{k}\left(h_{k}\right) \geqslant g_{k}\left(h_{k}\right) \quad\left(h_{k} \in H_{k} ; k:=1, \ldots, N\right) .
$$

Remark 1. The complete proofs of Theorems 2 and 3 are given in [4,5]. Theorem 4 appeared in this form in [6].

Remark 2. Note that the sums of Riesz homomorphisms were first described by S. J. Bernau, C. B. Huijsmans, and B. de Pagter in terms of $n$-disjoint operators in [7]. A survey of some conceptually close results on $n$-disjoint operators is given in [8, §5.6].
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