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# ON THE BALANCED SUBGROUPS OF MODULAR GROUP RINGS 

P. V. Danchev

The balanced property of certain subgroups of the group of all normalized $p$-torsion invertible elements in a modular group ring of characteristic $p$ is explored.

## Introduction

Let $S(R G)$ be the normed $p$-unit group in a group ring $R G$, formed by an abelian group $G$ and a commutative ring $R$ with identity of prime characteristic $p$. All unexplained symbols and letters as well as the terminology and definitions from the abelian group theory (including the topological ones) can be found in the classical book monographs [7]. For a background material in that direction, we refer the reader also to [1]-[6].

The major goal motivating the present paper is to find some special nice and isotype subgroups of $S(R G)$, a problem that arises naturally in the examination of the total projectivity both in modular and semi-simple aspects (cf. [1] and [6]). Thus the property of subgroups being balanced in modular group rings is crucial for the investigation of nice composition series and nice bases in such rings (see, for instance, [9] or [5]).

Moreover, the balanced subgroups play an important role for the quasi-completeness (e. g. $[2,3]$ ) and torsion-completeness (e. g. [4]) in group algebras by using either an algebraical or topological technique in terms of bounded convergent Cauchy sequences.

The query for the balanced property of $S(K H)$ in $S(K G)$ when $K G$ is semisimple, such that $G$ is $p$-primary and $K$ is either a field having arbitrary characteristic or is a special ring of zero characteristic, is considered and settled in some way by us in [4].

In [9] and [8], May and Hill-Ullery studied the case when $R$ is a field, whereas we here investigate the general situation which cannot be treated by similar reasons.

## The main result

We start with a single key assertion needed for future applications. It discovers the balanced property in $S(R G)$ of subgroups of the type $S(R H)$, whenever $H \leqslant G$; for certain other balanced subgroups the readers can see [6].

Proposition. Let $H$ be a $p$-balanced (that is $p$-nice and $p$-isotype) subgroup of $G$. Then $S(P H)$ is balanced in $S(R G)$, provided $P$ is a perfect subring of $R$ with the same unity.
$\triangleleft « p$-nice». Bearing in mind [7], it is enough to calculate that $\bigcap_{\alpha<\tau}\left[S^{p^{\alpha}}(R G) S(P H)\right]=$ $S^{p^{\tau}}(R G) S(P H)$ for every limit ordinal $\tau$. In fact, given an element $x$ in the left hand-side,

[^0]hence, by [3], $x \in\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} g_{i}\right) S(P H)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{\prime}\right) S(P H)=\ldots$, where $r_{i} \in R^{p^{\alpha}}, \sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i}=1$, $g_{i} \in G^{p^{\alpha}} ; r_{i}^{\prime} \in R^{p^{\beta}}, \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}^{\prime}=1, g_{i}^{\prime} \in G^{p^{\beta}} ; \alpha<\beta<\tau$ and $\beta$ is arbitrary but a fixed ordinal. Thus we can write
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} g_{i}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{\prime}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} h_{i}\right)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j} r_{i}^{\prime} f_{j} g_{i}^{\prime} h_{j}
$$
whenever $f_{i} \in P$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i}=1$ and $h_{i} \in H$.
Writing $\sum_{i, j} r_{i}^{\prime} f_{j} g_{i}^{\prime} h_{j}$ in canonical form, we may presume without loss of generality that the following relations hold:
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{1}^{\prime} f_{1} \neq 0, r_{1}^{\prime} f_{2}=\ldots=r_{1}^{\prime} f_{n}=0 ; r_{2}^{\prime} f_{2} \neq 0, r_{2}^{\prime} f_{1}=r_{2}^{\prime} f_{3}=\ldots=r_{2}^{\prime} f_{n}=0 ; \ldots ; \\
r_{s}^{\prime} f_{s} \neq 0, r_{s}^{\prime} f_{1}=\ldots=r_{s}^{\prime} f_{s-1}=r_{s}^{\prime} f_{s+1}=\ldots=r_{s}^{\prime} f_{n}=0
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

for some $s \in \mathbb{N}$, and all other ring products are not zero. Of course, these ring dependencies are indeed correct and well-chosen, because if in addition $r_{1}^{\prime} f_{1}=0$ we detect that $0=r_{1}^{\prime}\left(f_{1}+\right.$ $\left.\ldots+f_{n}\right)=r_{1}^{\prime}$ which is a contradiction. Moreover, we note that $r_{1}^{\prime} f_{1}=r_{1}^{\prime}\left(f_{1}+\ldots+f_{n}\right)=r_{1}^{\prime}$, $\ldots, r_{s}^{\prime} f_{s}=r_{s}^{\prime}\left(f_{1}+\ldots+f_{n}\right)=r_{s}^{\prime}$.

Now, let us assume for difficulty that the following additional group ratios hold (if not, the things are easy): $g_{2}^{\prime} h_{2}=g_{3}^{\prime} h_{3}=\ldots=g_{s-1}^{\prime} h_{s-1}$ such that $r_{2}^{\prime} f_{2}+r_{3}^{\prime} f_{3}+\ldots+r_{s-1}^{\prime} f_{s-1}=0$, i. e. these elements do not lie in the support.

A crucial fact is that, since the supports of the elements in the group ring are finite while the set $\{\alpha<\beta<\tau: \beta \geqslant \omega\}$ is infinite, all given relations are assumed of the above types presented. We mention that all other variants, even when there is no zero divisors, are identical or have a simple interpretation.

The canonical records imply

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{1}=r_{1}^{\prime} f_{1}, g_{1}=g_{1}^{\prime} h_{1} ; r_{2}=r_{s+1}^{\prime} f_{1}, g_{2}=g_{s+1}^{\prime} h_{1} ; r_{3}=r_{s+2}^{\prime} f_{2}, g_{3}=g_{s+2}^{\prime} h_{2} ; \ldots ; \\
r_{k}=r_{s+1}^{\prime} f_{2}, g_{k}=g_{s+1}^{\prime} h_{2} ; r_{k+1}=r_{s+2}^{\prime} f_{1}, g_{k+1}=g_{s+2}^{\prime} h_{1} ; \ldots ; r_{s}=r_{s}^{\prime} f_{s}, g_{s}=g_{s}^{\prime} h_{s} ; \ldots ; \\
r_{n}=r_{n}^{\prime} f_{n}, g_{n}=g_{n}^{\prime} h_{n} ; \ldots ; r_{m-2}=r_{n-2}^{\prime} f_{n-1}, g_{m-2}=g_{n-2}^{\prime} h_{n-1} ; \\
r_{m-1}=r_{n-1}^{\prime} f_{n}, g_{m-1}=g_{n-1}^{\prime} h_{n} ; r_{m}=r_{n}^{\prime} f_{1}, g_{m}=g_{n}^{\prime} h_{1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, we get that, $r_{1} \in \bigcap_{\beta<\tau} R^{p^{\beta}}=R^{p^{\tau}}, \ldots, r_{m} \in R^{p^{\tau}}$, hence $r_{1}^{\prime} \in R^{p^{\tau}}, \ldots, r_{n}^{\prime} \in R^{p^{\tau}}$ since

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{1}^{\prime}=r_{1}^{\prime} f_{1}=r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}^{\prime}=r_{s}^{\prime} f_{s}=r_{s} \\
r_{s+1}^{\prime}=r_{s+1}^{\prime} f_{1}+\ldots+r_{s+1}^{\prime} f_{n}=r_{2}+\ldots, \\
\ldots \\
r_{n}^{\prime}=r_{n}^{\prime} f_{1}+\ldots+r_{n}^{\prime} f_{n}=r_{m}+\ldots+r_{n}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $m=n^{2}-s+2-s(n-1)=n^{2}-s n+2$. Besides,

$$
g_{1} \in \bigcap_{\beta<\tau}\left(G^{p^{\beta}} H\right)=G^{p^{\tau}} H, \ldots, g_{m} \in G^{p^{\tau}} H
$$

Thus we can write $g_{1}=g_{\tau 1} a_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}=g_{\tau m} a_{m}$ where $g_{\tau 1}, \ldots, g_{\tau m} \in G^{p^{\tau}}$ and $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m} \in H$. Since $g_{1} g_{2}^{-1} \in G^{p^{\tau}}$, whence $a_{1} a_{2}^{-1} \in G^{p^{\tau}}$, we shall presume that $a_{1}=a_{2}$ because $g_{\tau 1} a_{1}=g_{\tau 1}^{\prime} a_{2}$ for some $g_{\tau 1}^{\prime} \in G^{p^{\tau}}$. By the same token we may produce also for the other pairs of indices $(i, j)$ such that $g_{i} g_{j}^{-1} \in G^{p^{\tau}}$. Besides, $g_{2} g_{k}^{-1}=h_{1} h_{2}^{-1} \in H$, hence $g_{\tau 1} g_{\tau k}^{-1} \in H$. The same procedure can be done for the other pairs of distinct indexes with this property as well.

We observe that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} g_{i}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}^{\prime} g_{\tau u_{i}}\right)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} b_{i}\right)$, where for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ we have $b_{i}=a_{u_{i}}$ or $b_{i}=a_{u_{i}} g_{\tau v_{i}} g_{\tau w_{i}}^{-1} \in H$ for some appropriate permutations $u_{i}, v_{i}, w_{i}$ of the indexes $1, \ldots, n$ so that $g_{\tau 2} b_{2}=g_{\tau 3} b_{3}=\ldots=g_{\tau(s-1)} b_{s-1}$, and eventually $r_{i}=r_{u_{i}}$.

When $m>n$ it may be possible that $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} g_{i}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} g_{\tau i}\right) a$ for some $a \in H$.
Since $\sum_{i=1}^{m} r_{i} g_{i} \in S(R G)$, there exists a group member from the sum which member belongs to $G_{p}$. By a reason of symmetry the same should be valid even for $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}^{\prime} g_{i}^{\prime}$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} h_{i}$. So, with no harm of generality, we may suppose that: $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{l} \in G_{p}, r_{1}+\ldots+r_{l}-1$ belongs to the nil-radical of $R ; G_{p} \not \supset g_{l+1} \in g_{l+2} G_{p} \in \ldots \in g_{m} G_{p}, r_{l+1}+r_{l+2}+\ldots+r_{m}$ lies in the nil-radical of $R ; l \in \mathbb{N}$. Analogously $g_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, g_{k}^{\prime} \in G_{p}, r_{1}+\ldots+r_{k}-1$ belongs to the nil-radical of $R ; G_{p} \not \supset g_{k+1}^{\prime} \in g_{k+2}^{\prime} G_{p} \in \ldots \in g_{n}^{\prime} G_{p}, r_{k+1}+r_{k+2}+\ldots+r_{n}$ lies in the nilradical of $R$ and $h_{1}, \ldots, h_{k} \in H_{p}, f_{1}+\ldots+f_{k}-1$ is in the nilradical of $R ; H_{p} \not \not h_{k+1} \in h_{k+2} H_{p} \in \ldots \in h_{n} H_{p}$, $f_{k+1}+f_{k+2}+\ldots+f_{n}$ is in the nilradical of $R ; n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Because, for any ordinal $\delta$, we know that $\left(G^{p^{\delta}} H\right)_{p}=G_{p}^{p^{\delta}} H_{p}$, we will presume that $g_{\tau 1} \in$ $G_{p}^{p^{\tau}}$ and $a_{1} \in H_{p}$. Moreover, by what we have already proved,

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{l+1} g_{l+2}^{-1} \in\left(G^{p^{\tau}} H\right)_{p}=G_{p}^{p^{\tau}} H_{p}, \ldots, g_{l+1} g_{m}^{-1} \in G_{p}^{p^{\tau}} H_{p}, \ldots, g_{l+2} g_{m}^{-1} \in G_{p}^{p^{\tau}} H_{p} \text { etc. } \\
g_{k+1}^{\prime} g_{k+2}^{\prime-1} \in G_{p}^{p^{\tau}} H_{p}, \ldots, g_{k+1}^{\prime} g_{n}^{\prime-1} \in G_{p}^{p^{\tau}} H_{p}, \ldots, g_{k+2}^{\prime} g_{n}^{\prime-1} \in G_{p}^{p^{\tau}} H_{p} \text { etc. }
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly for $h_{k+1} h_{k+2}^{-1} \in H_{p}, \ldots, h_{k+1} h_{n}^{-1} \in H_{p}, \ldots, h_{k+2} h_{n}^{-1} \in H_{p}$ etc.
Furthermore, $b_{i}=a_{u_{i}} g_{\tau v_{i}} g_{\tau w_{i}}^{-1} \in H_{p}$ for $i=1, \ldots, k$ or $b_{i} \in b_{j} H_{p}$ for $k+1 \leqslant i \neq j \leqslant n$.
Finally, it is apparent that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}^{\prime} g_{\tau u_{i}} \in \bigcap_{\alpha<\tau} S\left(R^{p^{\alpha}} G^{p^{\alpha}}\right)=S\left(R^{p^{\tau}} G^{p^{\tau}}\right)=S^{p^{\tau}}(R G)$ and $\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} b_{i} \in S(P H)$. That is why, it is easily checked that $x \in S^{p^{\tau}}(R G) S(P H)$. Thereby, the wanted equality is true, as expected.
«p-isotype». Exploiting [1],
$S(P H) \cap S^{p^{\alpha}}(R G)=S(P H) \cap S\left(R^{p^{\alpha}} G^{p^{\alpha}}\right)=S\left(P\left(H \cap G^{p^{\alpha}}\right)\right)=S\left(P H^{p^{\alpha}}\right)=S^{p^{\alpha}}(P H)$.
So, the proof is completed in all generality.
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